

2

CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Good evening. I'd like to call to order the August session of the Town of Brighton Planning Board. In the likely event of any kind of emergency tonight there are exits behind you and one behind me.

7

Mr. Secretary, please call the roll for this evening.

9

MR. BOEHNER: Absent is Pam Delaney.

10

CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Thank you.

11

We have meetings minutes from the June 19th, meeting. Do we have a motion to approve those minutes?

13

MS. CIVILETTI: Move.

14

MR. BABCOCK-STINER: Second.

15

CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Any comments or questions?

17

ALL COUNCIL MEMBERS: Aye.

18

CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Our July meeting minutes will be approved in September.

20

Ramsey, were the Public Hearings properly advertised?

22

MR. BOEHNER: The Public Hearings were properly advertised in the Brighton-Pittsford Post of August 15, 2019.

25

CHAIRPERSON PRICE: We are going to hear those

2

3 those hearings now. I want to be clear about some
4 adjournments and withdraws from our agenda tonight. The
5 Application 6P-07-19 Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation has
6 been adjourned to our September 18th, meeting.

7

8 companies -- it's two, I apologize, 8P-02-19 for a change in
9 one of the conditions also has been withdrawn.

10

11 single-family home has been adjourned to the September
12 meeting. With that, let's start the hearings.

13

14

15

16 construction of a five building retail plaza totaling 83,700
17 sf, which includes a 50,000 sf Whole Foods store and a 2,000
18 sf drive-thru coffee shop on properties known as 2740 Monroe
19 Avenue and 2800 Monroe Avenue (Tax ID #'s 137.19-2-70.321 and
20 150.07-2-8.111). All as described on application and plans
21 on file.

22

23

24

25

JERRY GOLDMAN: Good evening, Mr. Chairman,

23 members of the Board, my name is Jerry Goldman, I'm the

24 attorney and agent for the Daniele Family Companies who is

25 the applicant tonight for extension of our site plan approval

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19

2

3 for the Whole Foods Plaza. It has been a year since, nearly

4 a year since the approval. The final site plan was approved

5 on September 17, 2018. Pursuant to Town Code Section

6 217-13E, the site plan is eligible for extension if a

7 building has not been issued. And, in fact, that code

8 section provides that the Planning Board does have the right

9 to grant the approval. But if the application is not

10 approved the Planning Board shall give reasons why the

11 extension was not granted.

12 In order to help in the consideration of this

13 I will take a minute and talk a little bit about the fact

14 that while the site plan application was approved a year ago,

15 larger projects go through a lengthy process to get to

16 building permit. In addition to numerous rounds of comments

17 from the Town, there also is dealing with the State and the

18 State Department of Transportation and other agencies.

19 And it's no secret that there have been some

20 intervening lawsuits that have also been involved which have

21 impacted the progress of us being able to go forward and to

22 finalize our approvals and get ready to issue a building

23 permit, but that effort is underway and continues to be

24 underway. We are actively working all aspects of that. We

25 are hopeful that we will be, in short order, have all of our

3 administrator reviews done and be prepared to obtain a
4 building permit for this site. Therefore, we would request
5 the extension for a one-year period of that site plan
6 approval.

8 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Thank you. Jerry, can you
9 speak to anything about the -- is there anything in the work
10 that you have been doing as things continue that effect the
site plan in any way?

12 JERRY GOLDMAN: There's nothing -- I am not
sure I fully understand the question, but . . .

14 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: I'm saying, you're not
15 asking for any change in the conditions or the plans that
were approved?

17 JERRY GOLDMAN: No. We are not asking for any
18 modifications on the conditions or anything within the
19 approval. We are prepared to proceed under the approval that
was received from this Board.

21 MR. BOEHNER: Jerry, what is the status with
the New York State DOT?

23 JERRY GOLDMAN: At this point New York State
24 DOT has issued SEQRA findings relative to their review. And
they have issued a number of comment letters relative to
various aspects, utilities, highway work permits and the

3 like. We're currently in the process of responding to those
4 comments. Upon completion of those comments we would expect
5 that the DOT would be in a position where they could issue
6 final permits on the site and on the project.

8 MR. BOEHNER: The finding statement, am I

9 correct, was just recently issued?

11 JERRY GOLDMAN: That is correct. It was

12 issued -- I saw a copy of it sometime this week actually.

14 MR. BOEHNER: Okay. So it is moving along

15 with DOT?

17 JERRY GOLDMAN: That is correct. We have been
18 anxiously awaiting that we're hopeful to see it in November,
19 and then we're hopeful in December, and then we're hopeful in
20 January, and here we are in August and we --

22 MR. BOEHNER: There was nothing in those
23 findings that would prevent this project from moving forward?

25 JERRY GOLDMAN: Actually, what it did was it
reinforced the reviews which were done. The delay which was
occurred in their review was in part tied into the fact
that there's new technology which we have put forward. But
they said they were not ready to analyze under that new
technology. They have since analyzed under that new
technology and they have confirmed the conclusions of what we

3 and gentlemen, my name is Jerry Goldman, I am the attorney
4 and agent for Daniele Family Companies and we are here this
5 evening for site plan extension for the access management
6 plan on the south side of the road.

7 As I stated in the earlier question by
8 Mr. Boehner there has been review by the State DOT of this
9 particular issue and we face the same issues with regard to
10 the AMP that we do with the rest of the project. There are a
11 number of a comments and steps we need to go through and to
12 analyze before we're ready to get building permits.

13 But as I pointed out one thing which is
14 important is that the AMP, the general AMP and that's
15 providing cross access between the parcels which was worked
16 on very hard by the Daniele Family to coordinate with all of
17 the other property owners on the south side of the road to
18 allow them all to access a traffic light. Which, for me as a
19 Brighton resident, is a blessing because making left turns in
20 and out of the south side of the road is truly challenging.

21 And it's a situation that with this project's
22 construction will be addressed and will be addressed in a
23 very positive way. It is an amenity which is called out in
24 the incentive zoning and it's something which the Danieles
25 are pleased to provide as a part of their overall project.

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19

2

3 So the construction, the AMP, and everything
4 with the AMP, is essentially going to be dealt with hand in
5 hand with the project across the street. So with that we
6 will request the extension on that application as well -- or
7 approval as well.

8 MR. BOEHNER: We received a communication from
9 Bee Walters and her concern is that there is in the front of
10 the buildings, the old Pizza Hut, the hotel, and the mattress
11 store, City Mattress all have like short access --

12 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Ramsey, can you use the
13 microphone?

14 MR. BOEHNER: Yes.

15 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Thank you.

16 MR. BOEHNER: That those properties are all
17 interconnected up front. Is it your understanding that there
18 will be a cross access easement for those properties making
19 those connections?

20 JERRY GOLDMAN: Anthony is up here because he
21 was the primary driver in terms of working with all of the
22 property owners and I think he's had recent discussions
23 relative to this issue.

24 ANTHONY DANIELE: So my name is Anthony
25 Daniele, obviously with the Daniele Family Company. So to

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19
2

3 quickly answer the question. I have been in communication
4 with Bee and on this topic more specifically her architect,
5 Randy Peacock and her attorney Phil Silver, as recently as
6 this afternoon on this topic.

7 That additional drive access points, those
8 were added kind of late in the game they're on the Planning
9 Board site, so it was not as clearly addressed in the cross
10 access easements and shared parking agreement. That's
11 something we are working to fix. I have spoken to all of the
12 three parcels that are involved and they are amenable to
13 granting that cross access, but it just needs to be codified
14 and that will be part of the process.

15 MR. BOEHNER: Okay.

16 MR. DOLLINGER: And I work with Phil on that.
17 I don't think -- you're right. Nobody really got it exactly
18 what we were trying to accomplish. I think it bounced
19 around, but I have been working with him on this.

20 ANTHONY DANIELE: I think he's comfortable
21 that we will get it figured out. Thank you.

22 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Jerry, just a question of
23 interest. In the eyes of DOT, these aren't separate
24 applications?

25 JERRY GOLDMAN: It is part of the same review

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19
2

3 under SEQRA. Whether they will issue a separate highway work
4 permit for the north side and the south side, and lot by lot,
5 I really don't know.

6 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: So you're working with
7 them on comments or those comments came with the same written
8 notice with regard to the north side as well?

9 JERRY GOLDMAN: Right. And basically their
10 analysis under SEQRA was review of the overall action. The
11 overall action involves both the north side and the south
12 side of the road. Even though from an approval point of
13 view, there may be multiple approvals at the Town level, and
14 perhaps multiple approval at DOT level, but for SEQRA
15 analysis it all has to be dealt with together.

16 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Thank you. Questions?
17 Thank you.

18 Is there anyone that would care to address
19 this application regarding the access management?

20 We will move on. I will remind you, at the
21 end of our meeting once the Public Hearings are finished we
22 will be making a motion for approval or disapproval on these
23 applications. We will be reading the conditions of our
24 actions.

25 APPLICATION 8P-NB1-19

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19
2

3 8P-NB1-19 application of Frank Imburgia/FSI
4 Construction, owner, for Preliminary Site Plan Approval, EPOD
5 (watercourse) Permit Approval and Demolition Review and
6 Approval to raze a single family home and construct a
7 10,000+/- sf medical office building with related site
8 improvements on property located at 3300 Brighton Henrietta
9 Town Line Road. All as described on application and plans on
10 file.

11 JESS SUDOL: Good evening, Mr. Chairman,
12 members of the Board, my name is Jess Sudol, from Passero
13 Associates, civil engineer on the project. Acting here on
14 behalf of FSI Construction, with me from FSI is Mr. Dave
15 Merrick.

16 We appeared before this Board, I believe it
17 was a couple months ago, to review the project proposal at
18 3300 Brighton Henrietta Town Line Road which is for a
19 10,000 sf medical office building. I talked a lot about the
20 site, the site restrictions, the characteristics of that
21 meeting, so I won't necessarily rehash all of that.

22 I did want to point out that at that meeting
23 we presented several different concepts for the site layout
24 and kind of talked through 1, 2, 3, 4, 1-A, 2-B, just to try
25 to get to what would really best suit this site given the

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19
2

3 restrictions with the setbacks and of course the class B
4 stream that goes through the site. Also the access that
5 we're dealing with on Canal View Boulevard versus Brighton
6 Henrietta Town Line Road. And essentially what we've arrived
7 at is the application that is before you, it is a
8 modification to one of the concepts that was presented at
9 that meeting. We do have the parking lot on the eastern
10 portion of the project with the building on the western side.

11 The major change we made was a modification to
12 the physical geometry of the proposed building. Last time it
13 was a perfect 100 by 100 foot square for a total of 10,000
14 square feet. That has since been modified to be more
15 rectangular. That's essentially done several things for the
16 project that I feel are a benefits, but I think this Board
17 kind of foresaw when they were making that suggestion. That
18 means it allows us to bring the building a little bit closer
19 to the street and we can have a nice green lawn out in front
20 of the building. It will be closer to the street and also
21 the sidewalk.

22 It also allows us to take that western
23 building edge and move it further east so that it's not as
24 closer to the floodplain and some of the other physical
25 restrictions associated with the site. And it also better

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19
2

3 aligns with the parking lot area on the east side of the
4 building. Remember last time for those of you who remember,
5 it was a little offset, a little funky. I think by making
6 that building a little bit longer really pulls that southern
7 edge to align with that last parking stall there.

8 The parking lot layout itself more or less
9 stays the same, we did make some modifications like
10 relocating the dumpster enclosure so it wasn't right in front
11 of the site. We also included a sidewalk to the extent of
12 limits, western limits of the project and created additional
13 connectivity into and around the project.

14 So those were kind of higher level site plan
15 changes that we made. Procedurally there's a number of
16 different things that we're working through right now. I
17 don't anticipate this Board being positioned to offer any
18 kind of approval this evening. We, of course, recognize that
19 we're dealing with things with Architectural Review Board.
20 We also have some variances that we've submitted, a couple
21 more that have come up that we do need to submit namely the
22 parking area and the building being in the front setback.

23 We also have a number of DPW and Planning
24 Board comments to work through and address. We haven't had a
25 change to go through those preliminarily, I have spoken with

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19
2

3 Town staff. I don't see any of those comments which have
4 been brought to us. I don't think any of them would impact
5 the layout as it is currently proposed, and there are
6 certainly things we can work through fairly easily.

7 So with that said, one of the big ones I did
8 want to address the items I want to address, does have to do
9 with County DOT. We did perform a traffic impact study for
10 this project and submit it to the County DOT. They approved
11 it as submitted, so they have reviewed and they have so far
12 approved everything. We don't have too much actual work
13 happening in county right of way other than a proposed water.
14 We're not proposing any curb cuts, all that is going to come
15 off Canal View Boulevard.

16 During the peak hour there is a fairly decent
17 cue for those folks leaving Canal View Boulevard. That does
18 get up to about where our driveway is. It's that very same
19 reason why when I was here last time I said we took that
20 driveway, recognizing that would be a condition and really
21 pushed it as far north as physically possible. Any further
22 north you would start to have significant impacts on the EPOD
23 and the creek.

24 So I think we've done everything we possibly
25 can to go ahead and mitigate that as far as traffic goes.

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19
2

3 But as far as the light, the operations of the light, DOT is
4 comfortable with that. We have provided more details,
5 architectural drawings on the proposed building, again,
6 single story, 10,000 square feet. One of the things I
7 mentioned last time is it being a 2.2 acre site, by code we
8 are allowed up to 22,000 square feet of building area.

9 Of course, physical I don't think it would be
10 responsible to try and do that due to the other restrictions
11 associated with the site, but we are about half of the
12 allowable density by code. Again, single-story building, no
13 tenent as of yet we can formally announce although we do have
14 a lot of interest. But certainly, you know, the architecture
15 will be a class A medical space we anticipate occupying with.

16 So that said, I'm intentionally a little bit
17 brief because we talked about all of this last time. Again,
18 we have had a chance to review DPW and Board comments, I'd be
19 happy to address any of those specifically or any additional
20 comments the Board might have.

21 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Thank you. So ARB, have
22 you applied? And you did apply for a ZBA, but you have to
23 modify that?

24 JESS SUDOL: Correct.

25 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: I think the only that we

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19
2

3 or that I noticed, a potential for the side walkway that goes
4 across in front of the building to connect out to the street?

5 JESS SUDOL: Absolutely, makes perfect sense.

6 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: And the fence around the
7 pond, is that a requirement?

8 JESS SUDOL: No. I was actually -- that came
9 up in review comments, it also triggers a need for a
10 variance. We are not going to put a fence around. It's not
11 a residential property, no kids, it's not going to be deep
12 standing water, we're going to remove the fence altogether.

13 MR. BOEHNER: That's not part of the DEC
14 guidelines?

15 JESS SUDOL: No. As a matter of fact when you
16 talk to the DEC, they would rather there not be a fence
17 there. They have things like safety benches and water depth.
18 Problem with a fence is a kid can get by a fence, if they get
19 in trouble going to help them the fence becomes a
20 restriction.

21 MR. BOEHNER: So you will eliminate the fence.

22 JESS SUDOL: Yes.

23 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay. Those were my
24 questions.

25 MR. FADER: I have a question. You have a

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19
2

3 stone dust trail which comes to the road and circles around
4 the building?

5 JESS SUDOL: Correct.

6 MR. FADER: I am sort of curious, that if I'm
7 on the road and let's say I'm on a flight and I go down the
8 trail and I end up going no where.

9 JESS SUDOL: Yes. The trail really with the
10 connection suggested the thought is you could walk down the
11 sidewalk and kind of do a lap around the building at
12 lunchtime. It was never intended to be a bicycle trial that
13 was part of the greater trail system.

14 MR. FADER: Okay. Does it have a curb cut
15 into the parking lot?

16 JESS SUDOL: Well, it comes and it connects to
17 the sidewalk that's currently along the parking lot.

18 MR. FADER: Yeah, that's a curb cut.

19 MR. WENTWORTH: As you travel south along the
20 front of the building you get to the accessible parking, that
21 is all lowered sidewalk, so through the access aisles, yes,
22 you can get to the parking lot.

23 JESS SUDOL: Yes, you would not be trapped.

24 MR. BOEHNER: Jess, is the building in the
25 floodplain?

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19
2

3 JESS SUDOL: No.

4 MR. BOEHNER: How have you mitigated the
5 impacts to the floodplain?

6 JESS SUDOL: For starters, currently the vast
7 majority of the lawn is maintained right up to the top of the
8 bank of the creek. Which, when you talk about the last time
9 repairing buffers and providing shade over the creek, what
10 you really want to do is not allow the water to heat up.
11 What we're doing is proposing the implementation and
12 enhancement of that repairing buffer as an overall,
13 basically, environmental mitigation.

14 MR. BOEHNER: And you are also proposing --

15 JESS SUDOL: We are proposing --

16 MR. BOEHNER: -- storage?

17 JESS SUDOL: Yes. Basically, no net fill in
18 the floodplain.

19 MR. BOEHNER: By providing compensatory
20 storage?

21 JESS SUDOL: Yes.

22 MR. WENTWORTH: Jess, the site plan shows
23 along Brighton Henrietta Town Line side setback, but that's
24 actually front, correct?

25 MR. BOEHNER: That is correct.

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19

2

3 JESS SUDOL: Correct, we might have to change
4 the label.

5 MR. WENTWORTH: Is it 40-foot required for the
6 front setback?

7 JESS SUDOL: I believe 70.

8 MR. WENTWORTH: That is one of the variances?

9 JESS SUDOL: Yes.

10 MR. BOEHNER: When it was square it was fine,
11 but when it went to rectangle --

12 MR. WENTWORTH: Is there any requirement for a
13 variance to have the storm water retention pond within that
14 setback or is that okay?

15 MR. BOEHNER: I think that's okay.

16 Jess, the Canal View Boulevard is a private
17 road, where are you with getting the necessary access
18 easement?

19 JESS SUDOL: We recently submitted
20 documentation to the Town, which I wish I had a copy here. I
21 don't know if it is helpful or not, we have a note from Ryco
22 Management basically confirming that we are in the process --
23 and this has been submitted on the record -- we are working
24 through the access easement with them. We've done the legal
25 description, we've done the mapping, the attorney is looking

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19

2

3 at it, but they are committed to allowing us to have access.

4 MR. BOEHNER: Do you have a time frame for
5 when that would be executed?

6 JESS SUDOL: Well, it probably would be
7 executed -- probably wouldn't be formally executed until such
8 time that we have site plan approval. Because they're not
9 going to grant -- I don't think they want to grant access
10 based on this use and based on our team, and all of a sudden
11 we abandon the project and we don't get site plan approval,
12 then all of a sudden they've given access to that parcel.

13 So I would -- previously I think we've had
14 conditions such as the access easement must be filed in the
15 County Clerk's office prior to building permit being issued.
16 I would anticipate --

17 MR. BOEHNER: That's what you are requesting?

18 JESS SUDOL: Yes.

19 MR. OSOWSKI: Is the water main adequately
20 sized for the fire protection permit?

21 JESS SUDOL: Yes, more than, very much so.

22 MS. CIVILETTI: What type of fixtures are
23 proposed?

24 JESS SUDOL: They are obviously dark side
25 compliant, obviously going to comply with the Town's

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19

2

3 temperature ordinance, which I think is 3,000 Calvin, and,

4 you know, 20-foot high shoebox-type lights.

5 MR. BOEHNER: Have you done a pre-demolition

6 asbestos survey yet?

7 JESS SUDOL: No. I believe one has been

8 ordered though.

9 MR. BOEHNER: Okay.

10 MR. OSOWSKI: HVAC units on the roof?

11 JESS SUDOL: Potentially, that's most likely

12 what we're going to do, yes. We haven't quite gotten to

13 designing a system. In situations like this that's what we

14 end up doing.

15 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Jess, is that outdoor

16 seating on the north side, the creek side of the building?

17 JESS SUDOL: Yes.

18 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Other questions?

19 MS. CIVILETTI: Did you propose a generator?

20 JESS SUDOL: Most likely there will be. But

21 we are aware of Town requirements with generators. Hopefully

22 we can work it out with the tenant, as well as if the

23 generator is needed. By the time we get to a position for

24 final site plan approval that can be shown accurately so we

25 would not have to come back to you separately.

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19

2

3 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Any other questions?

4 Thank you.

5 This is a Public Hearing is there anyone in
6 the audience that cares to address this? Please come up.

7 DARLENE SMYTHE: I am Darlene Smythe, I live
8 at 540 Apple Orchard Lane in Webster, New York, 14580. I am
9 executive director of 12 Corners Daycare Center which is the
10 property on the other side of the creek of that. My concerns
11 were the piece of property that he mentioned, Ryco
12 Management, he's been talking to Ryco Management. 12 Corners
13 Daycare Center actually owns that strip of land between Canal
14 View Boulevard and a short distance. That is part of our
15 land.

16 I know Ryco Management recently acquired the
17 building that gives them controlling interest of the property
18 at Canal View, other than the private one, owned property
19 like ours. My concern was, is there going to be an access
20 road from the parking lot that you have onto Canal View
21 Boulevard? Is that going to be broken into it or is there
22 going to be a separate traffic light? I was just curious as
23 to what was happening with that.

24 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Yes. So have you had a
25 chance to see the plans?

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19

2

3 DARLENE SMYTHE: No, I have seen nothing so
4 far and that's why I'm here.

5 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: We are happy to show you.
6 They are looking at an access drive off of Canal View. This
7 is the owner's representative and the engineer, Jess Sudol.
8 They can show where the access drive is going to be. Show
9 them where her property is.

10 JESS SUDOL: You're back here. Obviously
11 there's a little bit of an island, this is the proposed
12 access road right onto Canal View Boulevard.

13 DARLENE SMYTHE: Okay. The other question I
14 had is, obviously we are located behind you on the other side
15 of the creek. What kind of landscaping? Will there be nice
16 landscaping --

17 MR. BOEHNER: Will you direct your questions
18 to the Board?

19 DARLENE SMYTHE: Yes, I'm so sorry. We are
20 the property across the creek and I've got little kids,
21 infants and toddlers on that side, so I was just curious as
22 to what kind of landscaping would be? I'm happy to hear it's
23 a single-story building. I'm just curious as to the plans of
24 that.

25 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: I would tell you looking

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19
2

3 at the landscape plan, they're proposing shade trees,
4 foundation plantings, plantings around the Dumpster
5 enclosure, both on the north side and on the Brighton
6 Henrietta Town Line Road side. And they are trying to also
7 naturalize the embankment of the creek for environmental
8 reasons, so I think they have probably as much or more
9 planting along the stream bank as they do the foundation.

10 DARLENE SMYTHE: Beautiful. Okay. That was
11 really all. I was just curious to see what the plan was,
12 what was going on and whether another traffic light was put
13 in or in the crossing at the piece of property --

14 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: They did traffic studies
15 and the traffic studies were reviewed by Monroe County DOT
16 which is Department of Transportation and that showed this
17 would not impact the level of service at that intersection.

18 DARLENE SMYTHE: Yes. I was just curious
19 because we do own that piece of property and I haven't heard
20 anything.

21 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: So the way we notify is we
22 post it in the Brighton-Pittsford Post. But I think we also
23 send e-mails or mail to adjacent properties within a certain
24 radius.

25 MR. BOEHNER: Just adjacent.

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19

2

3 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: So are you the owner?

4 DARLENE SMYTHE: No, I'm the executive
5 director. It is a not-for-profit organization.

6 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: The owner would have
7 received --

8 DARLENE SMYTHE: That would have come to us.

9 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: We always notify by mail
10 of an application on adjacent properties. And you can always
11 come to see the plans, the plans are submitted a month prior
12 to our public meetings tonight. So you're welcome to come to
13 the office here and see the plans and have staff explain them
14 to you.

15 DARLENE SMYTHE: Thank you, I appreciate that.
16 We were just curious as to what the plan was, what was going
17 to happen to the access roads.

18 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay. They looked at a
19 lot of alternatives and worked through some ideas from our
20 standpoint and their standpoint. This is the alternative
21 that they developed.

22 DARLENE SMYTHE: That makes absolute sense.
23 Thank you very much.

24 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Appreciate you coming out.
25 Anyone else care to address the application? Okay.

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19

2

3 I believe that was our last Public Hearing for

4 the night. I move we close the Public Hearings.

5 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: Second.

6 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: We will move on to the

7 resolutions, so David and Ramsey will help us through these.

8 APPLICATION 8P-01-19

9 8P-01-19 Application of Daniele SPC, LLC,

10 owner for extension of site plan approval (9P-06-18) for the

11 construction of a five building retail plaza totaling 83,700

12 sf, which includes a 50,000 sf Whole Foods store and a 2,000

13 sf drive-thru coffee shop on properties known as 2740 Monroe

14 Avenue and 2800 Monroe Avenue (Tax ID #'s 137.19-2-70.321 and

15 150.07-2-8.111). All as described on application and plans

16 on file.

17 MR. BOEHNER: The first motion I would

18 recommend would be that a motion be made that the application

19 for the extension to the site plan approval for Application

20 8P-01-19 is consistent with the findings statement and

21 adopted by the Planning Board on August 15, 2018. Does

22 someone want to make that motion?

23 MS. CIVILETTI: So moved.

24 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: I will second that.

25 MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Babcock-Stiner?

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19

2

3 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: Yes.

4 MR. BOEHNER: Ms. Civiletti?

5 MS. CIVILETTI: Yes.

6 MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Fader?

7 MR. FADER: Yes.

8 MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Price?

9 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Yes.

10 MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Wentworth?

11 MR. WENTWORTH: Yes.

12 MR. BOEHNER: The next motion is that I
13 recommend that the Planning Board moves that the Planning
14 Board approves the Application 8P-01-19 based on the
15 testimony given, plans submitted and with the following seven
16 conditions contained in the Planning Board report.

17 **CONDITIONS:**

18 1. Site Plan Approval shall expire on September 16, 2020.

19 No further extensions can be granted.

20 2. All conditions of approved of Planning Board applications
21 9P-06-18 and 6P-NB1-18 shall remain in effect and must be
22 satisfied.

23 3. All buildings shall comply with the most current Building
24 & Fire Codes of New York State.

25 4. Prior to issuance of any building permits, all plans for

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19

2

3 utility and storm water control systems must be reviewed and
4 have been given approval by appropriate authorities. Prior
5 to any occupancy, work proposed on the approved plans shall
6 have been completed to a degree satisfactory to the
7 appropriate authorities.

8

5. Meet all requirements of the Town of Brighton's
9 Department of Public Works.

10

6. All Town codes shall be met that relate directly or
11 indirectly to the applicant's request.

12

7. All outstanding comments and concerns of the Town
13 Engineer shall be addressed.

14

MS. CIVILETTI: So moved.

15

MR. FADER: Second.

16

MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Wentworth?

17

MR. WENTWORTH: Yes.

18

MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Price?

19

CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Yes.

20

MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Fader?

21

MR. FADER: Yes.

22

MR. BOEHNER: Ms. Civiletti?

23

MS. CIVILETTI: Yes.

24

MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Babcock-Stiner?

25

MR. BABCOCK-STINER: Yes.

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19

2

3 MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Osowski?

4 MR. OSOWSKI: Yes.

5 MR. BOEHNER: Approved with conditions.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19

2

3 APPLICATION 8P-04-19

4

5 8P-04-19 Application of Daniele SPC, LLC,
6 applicant, for extension of site plan modification (6P-01-18)
7 to construct shared parking and access, known as the "Access
8 Management Plan," on and across 2835 Monroe Avenue, 2815
9 Monroe Avenue, 2799 Monroe Avenue, 2787 Monroe Avenue, 2775
Monroe Avenue, 2735 Monroe Avenue and 2717 Monroe Avenue.

10

All as described on application and plans on file.

11

12

13

14

15

MR. BOEHNER: I recommend that you move that
the application for the extension offsite plan approval is
consistent with the findings statement adopted by the
Planning Board on August 15, 2018. Do I have a motion and a
second?

16

MR. BABCOCK-STINER: I make the motion.

17

CHAIRPERSON PRICE: I will second.

18

MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Wentworth?

19

MR. WENTWORTH: Yes.

20

MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Price?

21

CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Yes.

22

MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Fader?

23

MR. FADER: Yes.

24

MR. BOEHNER: Ms. Civiletti?

25

MS. CIVILETTI: Yes.

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19

2

3 MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Babcock-Stiner?

4 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: Yes.

5 MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Osowski?

6 MR. OSOWSKI: Yes.

7 MR. BOEHNER: Motion passes.

8 I offer that the Planning Board moves that the
9 Planning Board approves Application 8P-04-19 based on the
10 testimony given, plans submitted and with the following seven
11 conditions that are contained in the Planning Board report.

12 **CONDITIONS:**

13 1. Site Plan Approval shall expire on September 16, 2020.

14 No further extensions can be granted.

15 2. All conditions of approved of Flaming Board application
16 6P-01-18 shall remain in effect and must be satisfied.

17 3. All buildings shall comply with the most current Building
18 & Fire Codes of New York State.

19 4. Prior to issuance of any building permits, all plans for
20 utility and storm water control systems must be reviewed and
21 have been given approval by appropriate authorities. Prior
22 to any occupancy, work proposed on the approved plans shall
23 have been completed to a degree satisfactory to the
24 appropriate authorities.

25 5. Meet all requirements of the Town of Brighton's

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19

2

3 Department of Public Works.

4 6. All Town codes shall be met that relate directly or
5 indirectly to the applicant's request.

6 7. All outstanding comments and concerns of the Town
7 Engineer shall be addressed.

8 MR. BOEHNER: Do I have a motion and a second?

9 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: So moved.

10 MR. WENTWORTH: Second.

11 MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Wentworth?

12 MR. WENTWORTH: Yes.

13 MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Price?

14 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Yes.

15 MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Fader?

16 MR. FADER: Yes.

17 MR. BOEHNER: Ms. Civiletti?

18 MS. CIVILETTI: Yes.

19 MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Babcock-Stiner?

20 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: Yes.

21 MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Osowski?

22 MR. OSOWSKI: Yes.

23 MR. BOEHNER: Approved with conditions.

24

25

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19
2

3 APPLICATION 8P-NB1-19

4 8P-NB1-19 Application of Frank Imburgia/FSI
5 Construction, owner, for Preliminary Site Plan Approval, EPOD
6 (watercourse) Permit Approval and Demolition Review and
7 Approval to raze a single family home and construct a
8 10,000+/- sf medical office building with related site
9 improvements on property located at 3300 Brighton Henrietta
10 Town Line Road. All as described on application and plans on
11 file.

12 MR. BOEHNER: I move Application 8P-NB1-19 be
13 tabled based on the testimony given and the plans submitted.
14 Additional information is requested in order to make a
15 determination of significance and to have a complete
16 application. The following is required to be submitted no
17 later than two weeks prior to the next Planning Board
18 meeting. Those items are contained in the Planning Board
19 report, Items 1 through 35. I also offer a condition for
20 your consideration, that the site plan shall be revised to
21 show a sidewalk from the building to the proposed sidewalk
22 along Brighton Henrietta Town Line Road.

23 **CONDITIONS:**

24 1. Prior to application for Final Site Plan approval, a
25 completed storm water quantity and quality mitigation and

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19

2

3 phasing plan, including engineering reports, shall be
4 submitted to the Town Engineer for review. The mitigation
5 plan shall include both on-site and off-site improvements.

6 2. An Operational Permit shall be obtained from the Town of
7 Brighton Fire Marshal (Chris Roth, 585-784-5220).

8 3. The entire building shall comply with the most current
9 Building & Fire Codes of New York State.

10 4. All necessary variances shall be obtained from the Zoning
11 Board of Appeals.

12 5. The proposed sidewalk must meet all town requirements.

13 6. Prior to issuance of any building permits, all plans for
14 utility and storm water control systems must be reviewed and
15 have been given approval by appropriate authorities. Prior
16 to any occupancy, work proposed on the approved plans shall
17 have been completed to a degree satisfactory to the
18 appropriate authorities.

19 7. Meet all requirements of the Town of Brighton's
20 Department of Public Works.

21 8. All Town codes shall be met that relate directly or
22 indirectly to the applicant's request.

23 9. The project and its construction entrance shall meet the
24 New York State Standards and Specifications for Erosion and
25 Sediment Control.

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19

2

3 10. The contractor shall designate a member of his or her
4 firm to be responsible to monitor erosion control, erosion
5 control structures, tree protection and preservation
6 throughout construction.

7 11. Any contractor or individual involved in the planting,
8 maintenance or removal of trees shall comply with the
9 requirements of the town's Excavation and Clearing (Chapter
10 66), Trees (Chapter 175) and other pertinent regulations and
11 shall be registered and shall carry insurance as required by
12 Chapter 175 of the Comprehensive Development Regulations.

13 12. All trees to be saved shall be protected with orange
14 construction fencing placed at the drip line or a distance
15 greater than the drip line. Trees shall be pruned, watered,
16 and fertilized prior to, during and after construction.
17 Materials and equipment storage shall not be allowed in
18 fenced areas.

19 13. Erosion control measures shall be in place prior to site
20 disturbance.

21 14. Maintenance of landscape plantings shall be guaranteed
22 for three (3) years.

23 15. Deciduous shade trees shall be planted at 3 - 3 V2
24 inches in diameter. Pine trees shall be planted at 7 - 8 ft.
25 in height.

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19

2

3 16. A detailed lighting plan which shows the type, location
4 and lighting contours shall be submitted. Specifications for
5 the proposed light shall be submitted and light shall not
6 exceed 3000k.

7 17. The dumpster shall be enclosed with building materials
8 that are compatible with the existing building. The
9 enclosure shall equal the height of the dumpster.

10 18. The parking lot shall be striped as per the requirements
11 of the Brighton Comprehensive Development Regulations.

12 19. All outstanding Site Plan comments and concerns of the
13 Town Engineer and Fire Marshal shall be addressed.

14 20. Fire hydrants shall be fully operational prior to and
15 during construction of the building.

16 21. All County Development Review Comments shall be
17 addressed.

18 22. All other reviewing agencies must issue their approval
19 prior to the Department of Public Works issuing its final
20 approval.

21 23. The location of any proposed generators shall be shown
22 on the site plan. Documentation shall be submitted that
23 shows that all requirements for generators in the
24 Comprehensive
25 Development Regulations will be met or Planning Board

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19

2

3 approval for the generator will be obtained. The generator
4 shall not exceed 72 decibels.

5 24. A letter of credit shall be provided to cover certain
6 aspects of the project, including, but not limited to
7 demolition, landscaping, storm water mitigation,
8 infrastructure and erosion control. The applicant's engineer
9 shall prepare an itemized estimate of the scope of the
10 project as a basis for the letter of credit.

11 25. The proposed building shall be sprinklered in accordance
12 with Town requirements.

13 26. Only business identification signage as allowed per the
14 Comprehensive Development Regulations is permitted. This
15 signage must be reviewed and receive all necessary town
16 approvals prior to installation.

17 27. The applicant shall review the site plan, elevations,
18 and floor plans to ensure that the areas and dimensions
19 provided on those plans agree with one another. Elevation
20 drawings showing the height of the structure in relationship
21 to proposed grade as shown on the approved site plan shall be
22 submitted. Any changes to plans shall be reviewed by the
23 Building and Planning Department and may require Flaming
24 Board approval.

25 28. The location of the HVAC shall be shown on the site

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19

2

3 plan.

4 29. Prior to the issuance of any permits the applicant shall
5 obtain and submit a 23 9-F Permit from Monroe County DOT.

6 30. A letter or memo in response to all Planning Board and
7 Town Engineer comments shall be submitted.

8 31. All new accessible parking space signage to be installed
9 or replaced shall have the logo depicting a dynamic character
10 leaning forward with a sense of movement as required by
11 Secretary of State pursuant to section one hundred one of the
12 Executive Law.

13 32. Construction activities shall be limited to 7 AM to 6 PM
14 Monday to Friday, and 9 AM to 6 PM on Saturday.

15 33. A pre-demolition survey shall be submitted.

16 34. Prior to above ground construction, an instrument survey
17 showing setback and first floor elevation shall be submitted
18 to and reviewed by the Building and Planning Department.

19 35. All comments and concerns of Evert Garcia's contained in
20 the attached memo dated August 20, 2019 from Evert Garcia to
21 Ramsey Boehner, shall be addressed.

22 36. The site plan shall be revised to show a sidewalk from
23 the building to the proposed sidewalk along Brighton
24 Henrietta Town Line Road.

25 MR. WENTWORTH: So moved.

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19

2

3 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: Second.

4 MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Osowski?

5 MR. OSOWSKI: Yes.

6 MR. BOEHNER: Ms. Civiletti?

7 MS. CIVILETTI: Yes.

8 MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Fader?

9 MR. FADER: Yes.

10 MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Price?

11 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Yes.

12 MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Wentworth?

13 MR. WENTWORTH: Yes.

14 MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Babcock-Stiner?

15 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: Yes.

16 MR. BOEHNER: The application is tabled.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Brighton Planning Board 8/21/19

2

3

SIGNS:

4

5

1561 Strauss Eye, for a building face sign at 360 White Spruce Boulevard.

6

7

1562 L&M Wealth Management, for a building face sign at 1840 Winton Road South.

8

9

1552 Hotel on Monroe, for building face signs at 2323 Monroe Avenue.

10

11

MR. BOEHNER: 1561 and 1562, I recommend approve as recommended by the Architectural Review Board.

12

CHAIRPERSON PRICE: All in favor?

13

ALL COUNCIL MEMBERS: Aye.

14

CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Opposed?

15

16

MR. BOEHNER: Application 1552, we have not received any additional information that was requested. I recommend tabling it once again.

18

19

CHAIRPERSON PRICE: So a motion to table, all in favor?

20

ALL COUNCIL MEMBERS: Aye.

21

*

*

*

22

23

24

25

1
2
3 REPORTER CERTIFICATE
45 I, Rhoda Collins, do hereby certify that I did
6 report in stenotype machine shorthand the proceedings held in
7 the above-entitled matter;8 Further, that the foregoing transcript is a true and
9 accurate transcription of my said stenographic notes taken at
10 the time and place hereinbefore set forth.11
12 Dated this 3rd day of October, 2019.13 At Rochester, New York
14
1516 
17 Rhoda Collins
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25