

Historic Preservation Commission of Brighton 10/24/19

PROCEEDINGS HELD BEFORE THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION  
COMMISSION OF BRIGHTON AT 2300 ELMWOOD AVENUE, ROCHESTER, NEW  
YORK ON OCTOBER 24TH, 2019 AT APPROXIMATELY 7:15 P.M.

October 24th, 2019  
Brighton Town Hall  
2300 Elmwood Avenue  
Rochester, New York 14618

PRESENT:

JERRY LUDWIG, CHAIRPERSON  
JUSTIN DELVECCHIO  
AMANDA L. DREHER  
JOHN PAGE  
DIANA ROBINSON  
DAVID WHITAKER

MARY JO LANPHEAR  
Town Historian

KEN GORDON, ESQ.  
Town Attorney

RAMSEY A. BOEHNER  
Town Planner

NOT PRESENT:  
WAYNE GOODMAN

REPORTED BY: RHODA COLLINS, Court Reporter  
FORBES COURT REPORTING SERVICES, LLC  
21 Woodcrest Drive  
Batavia, New York 14020

1 Historic Preservation Commission of Brighton 10/24/19

2

3

4

CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: I'd like to call the meeting to order. Would you call the roll please, Ramsey?

5

MR. BOEHNER: Goodman is absent.

6

7

CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: May I have an approval of the agenda please?

8

MR. WHITAKER: So moved.

9

MR. PAGE: Second.

10

CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: All in favor?

11

ALL COUNCIL MEMBERS: Aye.

12

13

CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: Stands approved. Do we have minutes?

14

15

MR. BOEHNER: No, they will be approved at the November meeting.

16

17

CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: Okay. Was this meeting duly advertised?

18

19

MR. BOEHNER: Yes, it was properly advertised in the Brighton Pittsford Post of October 10, 2019.

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: Okay. That meeting as duly advertised will now be held. We had no communications, no designation of landmarks, no certificate of appropriateness, no hardship applications. Public Hearings are now closed.

25

New business, we have four houses here, four

1 Historic Preservation Commission of Brighton 10/24/19

2  
3 properties I should say. Now, these have been designated; is  
4 that correct?

5 MR. BOEHNER: No, they have been surveyed but  
6 not designated.

7 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: When were they surveyed?

8 MR. BOEHNER: I did send them out --

9 MS. DREHER: Somewhere in '98.

10 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: So the original bunch.  
11 Okay.

12 MR. BOEHNER: Before we go into that we have a  
13 balance of \$2,853, which will give you one survey and two  
14 updates, or six updates. So you can think about.

15 MR. GORDON: One survey plus two updates, or  
16 six updates.

17 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: It needs to be spent  
18 before the beginning of year?

19 MR. BOEHNER: Yes. This is why we're doing  
20 this, trying to get them ordered up.

21 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: I guess the question is:  
22 Do you want to get any of the properties updated? Do you  
23 feel strongly enough to? And I think we really have to look  
24 at these closely. Are they -- we had this little matrix  
25 before and that is we go down through the list and the ones

1 Historic Preservation Commission of Brighton 10/24/19

2  
3 that are threatened adds quite a bit to the need to survey.  
4 The architecture, if we know who the architect was, that is  
5 important. Social, is it something that would relate to the  
6 social history of the town. Famous owner, a famous  
7 architect, or a famous tenant in the case of --

8 MS. DREHER: I think 1140 Clover Street is  
9 worthy of designation.

10 MS. LANPHEAR: That's a J. Foster Warner.  
11 It's John Finucane, the son of Thomas Finucane.

12 MS. DREHER: I think that was done in 2004, so  
13 it's still a long time ago.

14 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: As far as the house goes,  
15 it's just another Tudor house and Brighton has those in  
16 spades. I think we've batted it back and forth enough we  
17 ought to proceed, I think.

18 MR. PAGE: That be the reason that we have  
19 these four here is because we've been doing that, right?  
20 Every month we're trying to go through this list here and  
21 we've removed maybe three to five, I can't remember.

22 MS. LANPHEAR: 1037 Winton Road South is the  
23 Buckland house. It's a brick house, one of the last bricks  
24 in Brighton.

25 MR. GORDON: Is that the Mark house?

1 Historic Preservation Commission of Brighton 10/24/19

2  
3 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: Yes.

4 MR. PAGE: That's certainly a designatable, I  
5 think that -- I don't know whether they came to this Board, I  
6 was on the other Board when the addition was done, and they  
7 sought input from you guys and Arlene gave some input. They  
8 came to us because they had to and although they started by  
9 saying, you know, we didn't really have any jurisdiction over  
10 them, but go ahead.

11 MR. GORDON: I'm not sure what a designation  
12 would really do for control of the property. Because under  
13 la lupa the owners of that property, being a legitimate  
14 institution, can -- well, have freedom to do much with the  
15 property that we really don't have any right to stop them  
16 from doing.

17 So I just want to bring that subject up so  
18 that, for example, if you do designate the property, I think  
19 there's a question as to whether they really have to come  
20 back in for a C of A to do any modifications to the property.  
21 Do you have a different thought on that, Ramsey?

22 MR. BOEHNER: I think it's a good question,  
23 Ken. I know we have some right to regulate and require. The  
24 question I wonder is about reasonableness. We could maybe  
25 have them come through the process, but the reasonableness of

1 Historic Preservation Commission of Brighton 10/24/19

2  
3 what we may require them to make the improvements to  
4 building.

5 MR. GORDON: I think municipality can regulate  
6 religious properties for health and safety reasons, but I  
7 don't know. I really just do not know whether for historic  
8 preservation purposes this particular property would -- I  
9 guess, I don't know how much teeth would be behind our  
10 destination if we did designate it at this point. If the  
11 owner is cooperative and they welcome the designation, I  
12 think all of that is good.

13 There's no reason to think that the property  
14 will always be in the hands of this religious institution.  
15 So if it did change hands, there was a purchase by a  
16 non-religion entity, the designation would carry forward and  
17 protect the property. But there just is a pretty severe  
18 limitation on what we would have the ability to compel. I'm  
19 just raising the issue.

20 MR. PAGE: No, I think we held it there  
21 because we don't want it to be off list. Whether we want to  
22 proceed, whether -- which I would agree with you -- if we  
23 wanted to send them an informal letter that said, as you  
24 remember we are interested in your building, would you talk  
25 to us about the possibility of designating it? And then --

1 Historic Preservation Commission of Brighton 10/24/19

2  
3 MR. GORDON: I don't think they can stop the  
4 Board from designating it, the question is what that  
5 designation would mean in the context of this property being  
6 designated. We designated Temple Sinai.

7 MS. LANPHEAR: And Mercy property.

8 MR. GORDON: So it's not like we don't  
9 designate --

10 MR. BOEHNER: I would say, Temple Sinai was  
11 supportive, they did their research. They were agreeable to  
12 it.

13 MR. PAGE: I am a member and I met with the  
14 committee twice to tell them that we're good to be a part of.

15 MR. GORDON: For me, I'm just raising the  
16 subject really because it's an issue of resource allocation  
17 for you. You do have a limited amount of money to spend, is  
18 this one of the properties you want to spend it on?

19 MS. ROBINSON: And it's still protected when  
20 it's on the list?

21 MS. DREHER: That's what I was wondering  
22 because this is one of the cases where leaving it on the list  
23 would serve another purpose. I would try not to do that and  
24 try to decide whether to designate it or not. But in this  
25 case I'm wondering if that --

1 Historic Preservation Commission of Brighton 10/24/19

2  
3 MS. ROBINSON: That's my question.

4 MR. GORDON: I can tell you, I don't know what  
5 would happen if Chabad came in and said, we want to demolish  
6 the structure and build a more modern facility that would  
7 serve our religious purposes better. I think we would have a  
8 hard time stopping them from doing that even if it's on the  
9 list.

10 MR. PAGE: Right, I was going to say, wouldn't  
11 that be true even if it was.

12 MR. GORDON: Even if it was designated. I am  
13 looking at you, Ramsey, because you have a longer history on  
14 this stuff.

15 MR. BOEHNER: My history is that we did talk  
16 to Chabad years and years ago about becoming designated. The  
17 interest was not there. Their cooperation with us was to  
18 work with us on it but they went to the Architectural Review  
19 Board instead. But you got to realizing this was a --

20 MS. DREHER: What do you mean they went to the  
21 Architectural Review Board instead?

22 MR. BOEHNER: They required to go to the  
23 Architectural Review Board.

24 MS. DREHER: Oh, for changes they wanted to  
25 make.



1 Historic Preservation Commission of Brighton 10/24/19

2  
3 MR. BOEHNER: Yes. That's what they did. But  
4 they did work with Arlene quite a bit. We had many meetings  
5 with them and had pretty frank discussions about it. Part of  
6 it at the time, they just wanted to get a project built too.

7 MS. ROBINSON: So we don't know if they are  
8 any more amendable?

9 MR. BOEHNER: I don't know. I haven't talked  
10 to them in years.

11 MS. DREHER: I'm attending that training  
12 tomorrow with the State Preservation, I forgot the name of  
13 the organization, and there is an hour in the morning on the  
14 legal side. I could ask and see if anybody knows. The  
15 presenters are from out of state. However, I don't know  
16 if --

17 MR. GORDON: Well, it's Federal.

18 MR. BOEHNER: It's a Federal Law. They need  
19 to know it's a local designation.

20 MS. DREHER: Yeah. And this is geared toward,  
21 I believe, local boards like us, but I can ask.

22 MR. GORDON: It would be interesting to get  
23 that answer. My suggestion would be that if you are  
24 interested in pursuing this property for designation it might  
25 make sense to ask the Supervisor to reach out to Rabbi, I

1 Historic Preservation Commission of Brighton 10/24/19

2  
3 can't remember. But he's got a good, close relationship with  
4 the Rabbi and Chabad. He could at least have a friendly  
5 conversation, I think, about it.

6 MR. WHITAKER: It wouldn't hurt for her to  
7 ask.

8 MR. GORDON: On the legal side, sure, any  
9 information is good to have, but --

10 MS. DREHER: I think it would be good for us  
11 to know what would happen if we did designate them. I do  
12 think in the future it's worth considering.

13 MR. DELVECCHIO: So we will put it aside for  
14 now?

15 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: I would suggest we put it  
16 aside as long as there's no inherent threat.

17 MR. BOEHNER: One thing is, we'll see where we  
18 are at the end of the night and how many updates we want and  
19 how many surveys we want. But if there's still monies  
20 available I would like to at our next meeting make a decision  
21 if we do want to do an update for the property. Maybe Amanda  
22 can share what she wants and me and Ken can think about it a  
23 little bit more and give you some input.

24 MR. GORDON: I do think it's worth maybe as  
25 Ramsey mentioned, Supervisor Moehle as well and get his sort

Historic Preservation Commission of Brighton 10/24/19

of head going on, I am sure he would be willing to have a chat with the Rabbi.

MS. LANPHEAR: It's the last of the brick houses that has not been designated. It's the last one.

MR. GORDON: It's not an issue as to whether this is a worthwhile property, it is. But what it would mean in terms of the teeth of the law is where I'm struggling, so . . .

MS. DREHER: I will report back.

MR. GORDON: I could go online and see what I can find as well. This probably isn't the first time this issue has been dealt with.

MS. DREHER: I would propose that 1356 Winton Road be taken off the list. The survey and the fact that there seems to be kit houses of similar nature but more identified the source and whatnot are already designated.

CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: It looks like they've been working on the property some. I don't know whether that's the place where the three lots are?

MR. BOEHNER: Behind it.

MS. LANPHEAR: That was the McGrath William house that's got the flag lot next it.

MR. BOEHNER: It's kind of on the side, the

1 Historic Preservation Commission of Brighton 10/24/19

2  
3 road goes back. It's weird.

4 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: But it's adjacent to this  
5 house.

6 MR. BOEHNER: Yes, next to the --

7 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: We do have one Sears  
8 house, which is a very good example. I would say that's  
9 probably sufficient, but what do you think?

10 MR. DELVECCHIO: I tend to agree.

11 MS. ROBINSON: It's been modified.

12 MS. LANPHEAR: Edgewood Avenue, the one across  
13 the street from you.

14 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: So we are going to pass  
15 on 1356, we're going to pass on 1037. Are we all agreed?

16 MS. DREHER: I think we are going to wait on  
17 1037.

18 MR. GORDON: I think 1037 stays on the list.  
19 Is somebody going to make a motion to remove?

20 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: I wasn't necessarily  
21 suggesting we remove it.

22 MR. GORDON: I thought that's what I heard  
23 Amanda say.

24 MS. DREHER: I suggested we remove it because  
25 of the unremarkable quality of the house and the fact that we

1 Historic Preservation Commission of Brighton 10/24/19

2  
3 have that Sears house designated.

4 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: Well, I guess the  
5 question being if someone ten years from now sits at this  
6 commission and says, oh, there's a Sears house on Winton Road  
7 why don't we get surveyed? Will they know somehow that this  
8 property had been surveyed? I think that's one thing we want  
9 to make sure that it was in fact surveyed and looked at and  
10 put aside.

11 MS. DREHER: I think a record of the survey is  
12 kept.

13 MR. GORDON: In the minutes of this commission  
14 it is kept as well. If you are interested in doing that if  
15 you do it by way of motion to remove it from the list of  
16 survey but not designated.

17 MS. DREHER: So I'll make the motion to remove  
18 1356 Winton Road South from the list of surveyed properties  
19 that have not been designated.

20 MS. ROBINSON: I will second that.

21 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: Any discussion? All in  
22 favor?

23 ALL COUNCIL MEMBERS: Aye.

24 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: Ramsey, do you want to  
25 call the roll?

## 1 Historic Preservation Commission of Brighton 10/24/19

2  
3 MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Ludwig?

4 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: Yes.

5 MR. BOEHNER: Ms. Robinson?

6 MS. ROBINSON: Yes.

7 MR. BOEHNER: Mr. DelVecchio.

8 MR. DELVECCHIO: Yes.

9 MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Whitaker?

10 MR. WHITAKER: Yes.

11 MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Page.

12 MR. PAGE: Yes.

13 MR. BOEHNER: Ms. Dreher?

14 MS. DREHER: Yes.

15 MR. BOEHNER: Motion passes.

16 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: Okay. 1495 Winton Road  
17 South, what is the feeling on that?18 MR. PAGE: I wasn't too impressed with that  
19 one.20 MS. LANPHEAR: That's the yellow house, the  
21 Steven Riley house?22 MR. PAGE: It's a Cory McGrath house, the  
23 vernacular farmhouse.

24 MS. DREHER: It is the yellow one.

25 MS. LANPHEAR: It's the last house before the

1 Historic Preservation Commission of Brighton 10/24/19

2  
3 corner of Westfall and Winton Road. There was another house  
4 there once before that was torn down. The people living  
5 there now are very interested in preserving it. I don't know  
6 if they're interested in a designation, but I've had several  
7 calls from them about tracing the history of the house. And  
8 it was owned by somebody who did work at the brickyards, as  
9 did the McGrath across the street and further down the road,  
10 the Kerry Harper house that's been designated, so it's got  
11 some value in a sense but it's part of the social, political,  
12 cultural, fabric of the community.

13 MR. PAGE: It seems to be the strongest suit  
14 in an otherwise weak situation.

15 MS. LANPHEAR: It's part of the brickyard  
16 history. If they weren't living in Brighton and walking up  
17 to two miles to go to work in the morning, they were living  
18 in places like that to go to work.

19 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: What are we doing?

20 MR. WHITAKER: I have to be honest, I don't  
21 know the house.

22 MR. GORDON: So not that you are considering  
23 designation at this point in time, but to keep it on the  
24 list. The concept has been that this is a house you perhaps  
25 in the future might consider designating it. So the idea

1 Historic Preservation Commission of Brighton 10/24/19

2  
3 behind this, the grounds for designation would be that  
4 there -- not that it's an example of anything, but simply  
5 that there was somebody who lived in it once and worked at  
6 the brickyard.

7 MS. LANPHEAR: There's more than that, I'm  
8 sure. I haven't delved into the history of the house that  
9 deeply, but I know that it's important to the 19th and early  
10 20th century history of the town. I will go that far out on  
11 a limb.

12 MR. GORDON: This house?

13 MS. LANPHEAR: The people that lived in the  
14 house. And the fact that there is interest from the current  
15 owners for preservation and learning about the history of the  
16 house, I think that's a factor too that should be taken into  
17 consideration.

18 MS. ROBINSON: Could it be made whole? Could  
19 it be --

20 MS. LANPHEAR: It's in pretty good shape.  
21 It's been well cared for in the last few years. It was not  
22 well cared for ten years ago. The people who own the house  
23 seem to be keeping it up.

24 MS. DREHER: The survey was done in 1998.  
25 I've never approached the house. Did they remove the



1 Historic Preservation Commission of Brighton 10/24/19

2

3 aluminum siding or is the yellow --

4

MS. LANPHEAR: I don't know.

5

6

MS. ROBINSON: There's a lot of greenery in front.

7

8

9

MS. DREHER: If we knew if there had been specific changes since the survey, we'd consider an update before deciding on taking it off the list.

10

11

12

13

14

15

CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: Well, the other option is to say, okay, we may not be in perfect order here, but there are other houses we should look at before we look at this one. And again, I'm open to suggestions as to whether we should pick something else that perhaps stands out a little clear.

16

17

MS. DREHER: Well, did we decide for certain on 1140 Clover Street?

18

19

20

CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: Well, we have to update the survey on that if we're going to designate that. I think the mood was we were designating that.

21

22

23

24

25

MS. DREHER: Yes.

MR. GORDON: So you have 30 properties to pick from that are currently on your list that have been surveyed but are not yet designated. Of those 30, I don't know if any of them are prime for designation without an updated survey.

1 Historic Preservation Commission of Brighton 10/24/19

2

3 So the real question is if 1140 is one, and your idea is to  
4 spend your money this year updating rather than finding brand  
5 new properties to survey, then you have five more out of the  
6 remaining 29 properties to survey. And is 1495 one of your  
7 top five on the remaining list to update?

8 MS. DREHER: We haven't talked --

9 MR. GORDON: Just trying to be analytical.

10 MS. DREHER: We haven't talked recently about  
11 anything that hasn't been surveyed at all, have we? Is there  
12 anything that --

13 MR. PAGE: Not for a few meetings.

14 MS. DREHER: -- anyone wants to talk about?

15 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: Well, that will usually  
16 rear its head if there's a demolition permit or a request for  
17 one, it will pop up. But other than that --

18 MR. BOEHNER: But if they can make substantial  
19 improvements to that house you would not see it under a  
20 building permit. You would see it under a demolition  
21 scenario.

22 MS. LANPHEAR: There's the Houston Barnard  
23 neighborhood which we've never gone anywhere near. There are  
24 many architect designed houses there that are probably worthy  
25 of designation.

1 Historic Preservation Commission of Brighton 10/24/19

2

3

4

5

MR. PAGE: My interest has recently been to deal primarily with this because why are we hanging on to them?

6

CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: That's a good question.

7

8

9

10

MR. PAGE: We should take them off or update them and designate them. Not that we can't consider new ones, but it seems like we did pretty good for a while and then really slowed down.

11

12

MS. DREHER: We've been through all of the ones recently on the list, right?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MR. GORDON: Right. So these were the last four that had not been reviewed. So I think it's fair to say that everything that is on the adopted list of surveyed properties, other than these four that are on tonight's agenda, you have reviewed and made a decision they should stay on the list. To say that another way, they are potentially worthy of designation, but that you're going to need an updated survey before you do that.

21

MR. BOEHNER: Yes.

22

23

24

25

MR. GORDON: Like I said, I think 1140 Clover is one out of these 30 properties and then you've got money to do five more, and you need to make that decision if not tonight certainly by the next meeting.

1 Historic Preservation Commission of Brighton 10/24/19

2

3 MS. LANPHEAR: On this list, 332 South  
4 Landing.

5 MR. WHITAKER: Is 69 Glen Road significant?  
6 Are there any on that road that are --

7 MS. LANPHEAR: That's the one that's across  
8 the street from the Walter Hagan house which has been  
9 severally modified.

10 MR. BOEHNER: This is right on the road,  
11 right?

12 MS. LANPHEAR: Before the tunnel on the right.

13 MR. PAGE: So 332 is what you said?

14 MS. LANPHEAR: Yes, if we update something.

15 MR. PAGE: I like that house, I would agree.

16 MS. LANPHEAR: And 3333 Elmwood Avenue is --  
17 there may be a fight there. How badly do you want to do  
18 that?

19 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: The house has been  
20 modified a lot since it was built.

21 MS. LANPHEAR: Yeah, but it's nice.

22 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: If we look at the  
23 architecture, that's one of their things. Yes, it's nice,  
24 but is it the way it was built? And the owners, I just  
25 assumed unless it was threatened, leave it on the list,

1 Historic Preservation Commission of Brighton 10/24/19

2  
3 but --

4 MS. LANPHEAR: Yes.

5 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: I think 332 South Landing  
6 a stucco house is fine.

7 MS. DREHER: I don't -- not seeing a survey on  
8 3333 Elmwood Avenue. Did we get that one to talk about that  
9 one?

10 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: It's been surveyed. It  
11 was part of the original surveys.

12 MS. DREHER: Was it sent to us in the last  
13 couple months?

14 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: No, I don't think so.

15 MS. DREHER: Okay. So that would be one we  
16 should see the survey on. I guess that one really wasn't  
17 given full consideration.

18 MR. DELVECCHIO: Can we make a motion to  
19 update 332 South Landing?

20 MR. PAGE: I think we can maybe get the three.

21 MR. GORDON: You have two so far, and you can  
22 stop there for tonight or you can keep going.

23 MR. PAGE: Let's get one more.

24 MR. GORDON: Understand if you go one more  
25 then you have to go to six eventually before the end of the

1 Historic Preservation Commission of Brighton 10/24/19

2  
3 year.

4 MR. PAGE: I see.

5 MR. GORDON: If you are going to go with two  
6 tonight then you're still holding out the possibility of  
7 doing a full survey because we've got the money to do that.

8 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: I think that's a good  
9 idea because you never know what might come along. I would  
10 just as soon if nothing shows it's head in a month then we  
11 can probably go ahead.

12 MR. GORDON: Right. And processes-wise, by  
13 the November meeting presumably if there was anything that  
14 was truly threatened, an application would have been made for  
15 some sort of building permit or -- not necessarily, I know,  
16 but you might have more information.

17 MR. BOEHNER: Yes.

18 MS. DREHER: I'm not seeing 2762 Elmwood or  
19 3644 Elmwood in my files, the surveys that have been sent  
20 out. For some reason those Elmwood ones are not sounding  
21 familiar to me. So I started looking to see to refresh my  
22 memory on which houses they were and I only found a survey  
23 for 3541. I haven't found surveys -- we determined 3333 was  
24 not sent?

25 MR. BOEHNER: No.

1 Historic Preservation Commission of Brighton 10/24/19

2

3

MS. DREHER: But those other two?

4

MR. PAGE: Did you say 3644 also?

5

6

MS. DREHER: I'm also not finding 3644 or  
2762.

7

8

9

MR. BOEHNER: I would have sent them out  
unless I was directed by you guys. I don't remember doing  
that, but after a while you lose track of --

10

11

12

13

MS. DREHER: I think we might have skipped  
over a few in the middle of the list. I think that's what  
happened. If we could get the surveys for those, the  
original surveys.

14

15

MR. BOEHNER: Okay. So give me the numbers,  
that's Elmwood Avenue, 35 --

16

17

18

MS. DREHER: 3541 we already got.

19

20

21

MS. DREHER: So there's three.

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: So what we do is we say  
proceed with updates.

MR. GORDON: Right. I think Justin was going  
to make a motion to direct an update for 332 South Landing  
and 1144.

MR. DELVECCHIO: Yes.

Historic Preservation Commission of Brighton 10/24/19

MR. BOEHNER: 1140, right?

MR. DELVECCHIO: I move to update  
1140 Clover and 332 South Landing surveys.

MR. PAGE: I will second that.

CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: 332.

MR. DELVECCHIO: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: Okay. Do you need a  
motion for that?

MR. GORDON: Motion was made, Page seconded.

MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Ludwig?

CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: Yes.

MR. BOEHNER: Ms. Robinson?

MS. ROBINSON: Yes.

MR. BOEHNER: Mr. DelVecchio.

MR. DELVECCHIO: Yes.

MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Whitaker?

MR. WHITAKER: Yes.

MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Page.

MR. PAGE: Yes.

MR. BOEHNER: Ms. Dreher?

MS. DREHER: Yes.

MR. BOEHNER: Motion passes.

CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: Any other new business?



1 Historic Preservation Commission of Brighton 10/24/19

2

3 MS. LANPHEAR: Can we just go back to the list  
4 for just a second. I just want to let people know that  
5 2331 Westfall Road has elicited some interest from a historic  
6 point. I think there's going to be an article about the  
7 place, the one called Jalna, and the one that was designed  
8 for Isabelle and Walden Moore and also lived in by Virginia  
9 Jeffrey Smith. So again, there's some interest there, there  
10 could be developing some more history about the place. So  
11 that might be something of interest at another time to update  
12 the survey on that.

13 MR. GORDON: Sounds like you're going to take  
14 this issue up again at the November meeting.

15 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: Anything else?

16 MR. BOEHNER: I'm sorry, what did we do with  
17 1495?

18 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: That's in limbo right  
19 now.

20 MR. BOEHNER: So keep it on the agenda?

21 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: Yes. Everybody go by and  
22 take a look at it if they haven't.

23 MS. DREHER: We're deciding whether or not to  
24 order an update.

25 MR. BOEHNER: Okay.

1 Historic Preservation Commission of Brighton 10/24/19

2  
3 MR. GORDON: I think we have one matter of old  
4 business.

5 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: 3225 east.

6 MR. BOEHNER: We did send out a letter. We  
7 have not heard anything back from them.

8 MR. WHITAKER: When did you send the letter?

9 MR. BOEHNER: September 27th.

10 MR. WHITAKER: That's enough time to reply.

11 MR. GORDON: So the next step of the process  
12 would be to set a Public Hearing for designation.

13 MR. PAGE: Yes.

14 MS. DREHER: I think we should move on to the  
15 next step, so I would move to set a Public Hearing on  
16 3225 East Avenue.

17 MR. PAGE: I will second that.

18 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: Any discussion?

19 MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Ludwig?

20 CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: Yes.

21 MR. BOEHNER: Ms. Robinson?

22 MS. ROBINSON: Yes.

23 MR. BOEHNER: Mr. DelVecchio.

24 MR. DELVECCHIO: Yes.

25 MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Whitaker?

## 1 Historic Preservation Commission of Brighton 10/24/19

2

3

MR. WHITAKER: Yes.

4

MR. BOEHNER: Mr. Page.

5

MR. PAGE: Yes.

6

MR. BOEHNER: Ms. Dreher?

7

MS. DREHER: Yes.

8

MR. BOEHNER: Motion passes to set the Public

9

Hearing.

10

CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: Any other concerns? I

11

make a motion to adjourn.

12

MR. WHITAKER: So moved.

13

MS. DREHER: Second.

14

CHAIRPERSON LUDWIG: All in favor?

15

ALL COUNCIL MEMBERS: Aye.

16

\*

\*

\*

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## REPORTER CERTIFICATE

I, Rhoda Collins, do hereby certify that I did report in stenotype machine shorthand the proceedings held in the above-entitled matter;

Further, that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate transcription of my said stenographic notes taken at the time and place hereinbefore set forth.

Dated this 25th day of November, 2019.

At Rochester, New York

  
Rhoda Collins