
B R I G H T O N
P L A N N I N G B O A R D

October 21, 2020

Brighton Town Hall

2300 Elmwood Avenue

Brighton, New York 14618

PRESENT:

WILLIAM PRICE, CHAIRPERSON
LAURA CIVILETTI
DAVID FADER
JASON BABCOCK-STINER
JEANNE DALE

DAVID DOLLINGER, ESQ.
Town Attorney

RAMSEY A. BOEHNER
Town Planner

REPORTED BY: ALEXANDRA K. WIATER, Court Reporter
Forbes Court Reporting Services, LLC
21 Woodcrest Drive
Batavia, New York 14020

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Good evening, ladies and
3 gentlemen, Members of The Board. I'd like to welcome
4 everyone to the Town of Brighton's October 21st, 2020
5 Planning Board meeting. This meeting is a public
6 gathering with restrictions due to the COVID-19
7 policies set forth by the Governor and Town
8 Supervisor. This Planning Board meeting is being held
9 virtually. All members of the public are able to view
10 the meeting via Zoom, the same as the Planning Board
11 Members and staff. All of the applications for
12 tonight that will be heard tonight have been posted on
13 the Town's website. And written comments can be
14 directed to Ramsey Boehner, the Executive Secretary
15 for the Planning Board, at Town Hall by mail or by
16 email.

17 I would personally like to introduce the
18 Members of the Board that are here. We do have one
19 missing. I'm Bill Price. We have Laura Civiletti,
20 Jason Babcock-Steiner, David Fader, Pam Delaney, John
21 Osowski is not here tonight, and we do now have a
22 vacancy on the Board. And if this interests you at
23 all, we hope it -- hope it would, please feel free to
24 submit a resume for a position on the Board or give me
25 or Ramsey a call if you have an interest and

FORBES COURT REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (585) 343-8612

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 questions.

3 Ramsey, I'd like you to call the roll,

4 please.

5 MR. BOEHNER: Price?

6 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Here.

7 MR. BOEHNER: Fader? Fader? I see him.

8 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: I saw him earlier.

9 MR. BOEHNER: Can we unmute him?

10 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: David, you're on mute.

11 He's there.

12 MR. BOEHNER: Okay.

13 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Unable to unmute.

14 MR. FADER: I figured it out. I'm here.

15 MR. BOEHNER: Okay. Civiletti?

16 MS. CIVILETTI: Here.

17 MR. BOEHNER: Delaney? I don't hear

18 Delaney.

19 MS. DELANEY: Sorry. Here. Can you hear me

20 now?

21 MR. BOEHNER: Yeah. We have Delaney. Jason

22 Babcock-Stiner?

23 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: Here.

24 MR. BOEHNER: Absent is Osowski.

25 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: All right. Thank you.

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 We are going to have several public meetings -- or
3 Public Hearings tonight for various projects. These
4 applications will be heard by the -- by the Board.
5 The applicant and their representatives will make a
6 presentation. We will then ask the questions that we
7 have. And then the public will be able to ask
8 questions that you have or to make any comments
9 regarding a specific application.

10 Depending on the -- on the platform that
11 you're viewing us on, there are two features. One is
12 a chat feature that you are welcomed to post any
13 questions or comments to. And there's also -- if you
14 are using Zoom, there is a reactions button on the --
15 on the lower right that allows you to raise a hand.
16 Other platforms have different methods but you can
17 indicate to us and Jeff Frisch will be able to allow
18 you to enter and talk when we are opening the public
19 comment period of the application.

20 Ramsey, would you just tell us -- can you
21 confirm that the Public Hearings for tonight have been
22 properly advertised.

23 MR. BOEHNER: Yes. They were properly
24 advertised in the Brighton-Pittsford Post of October
25 15th, 2020.

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: All right. Thank you.

3 Before we hear these applications, I want to point out
4 for anybody that's interested, that application --
5 that -- the first one on our agenda here, 8P-01-20,
6 Application of John Greer, for restaurant parking lot
7 at 2171 West Henrietta Road has been postponed to our
8 November meeting at the applicant's request.

9 And under new business, application number
10 9P-NB1-20, the Application of the Baptist Bible Temple
11 and Clover Park Properties has been postponed to
12 November. Also at the applicant's request.

13 So, our first application that will be heard
14 tonight is Application 8P-02-20.

15 APPLICATION 8P-02-20

16 Application of Passero Associates, agent,
17 and New Monroe Real Estate, LLC, owner, site plan
18 modification to expand and reconfigure the parking
19 area increasing the number of parking spaces from 36
20 to 47 on property located at 2816 Monroe Avenue. All
21 as described on application and plans on file.

22 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Do we have someone? I
23 see David.

24 MR. BOEHNER: It's David Cox.

25 DAVID COX: Yes.

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: David, please introduce
3 yourself and proceed. Thank you. You're on mute
4 though.

5 DAVID COX: All right. There we go. Good
6 evening, Board Members. I'm David Cox with Passero
7 Associates, the civil engineer for the project. I'll
8 just give you a quick update of where we've been and
9 what we -- what we -- what's taken place since the
10 last time we were before you guys.

11 So, we did receive the required variances
12 for the project. So, we got that. And then, as far
13 as plan revisions that were made is, we added the new
14 six-foot high wood fence along the west property line.
15 We showed the location of the Auburn Trail to the
16 north up there. We removed the existing shed that was
17 in that northwest property corner. We did update the
18 lights. All the lights are going to be LED. So,
19 right now they're metal halide. We're removing those
20 and putting LED lights with 3,000K color temperate
21 that will be dark sky compliant. And then, there was
22 a bunch of Town staff notes that they wanted added to
23 the plans. So, we have addressed all of the Town
24 comments and we have submitted that to the Town. And
25 I think that's -- I think that's everything.

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay. Do the -- are
3 there any questions from the Board?

4 MR. BOEHNER: I might have a -- a comment
5 and one question. My understanding, David, is that
6 the existing easement for the trail is not shown right
7 on your resubmitted plans.

8 DAVID COX: Okay.

9 MR. BOEHNER: And those trees do need to be
10 moved and the fence needs to be pulled back so you're
11 not blocking the trail.

12 DAVID COX: Okay.

13 MR. BOEHNER: And you need to provide us the
14 drawings to show that.

15 DAVID COX: Yeah. That's not a problem at
16 all.

17 MR. BOEHNER: Okay. And then, what is the
18 color temperature of the LED lights?

19 DAVID COX: 3,000.

20 MR. BOEHNER: I don't have any other
21 questions, Bill, unless the Board has some for me.

22 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: All right. David, and
23 Board Members, I have a -- I'm having a hard time with
24 this particular application because of -- this is --
25 this is, to me, a little bit more of an emotional

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 decision, this application. This is -- for full
3 disclosure, this is the animal hospital where we bring
4 our pets and have for many, many years. And I do know
5 that there are times when this is a -- the parking
6 here is a severe crunch and I think that COVID has
7 made them -- made the situation even worse the way
8 that they have to operate.

9 I do -- however, I'm not -- I'm just not
10 comfortable with this because we just went through
11 four years or more of an application literally right
12 next door where we asked those applicants to apply
13 principles that were thrown out the window with this
14 application. Cross access agreements, pedestrian
15 access, the proper amount of green space, I -- I
16 just -- I have a -- I have a hard time thinking that
17 this isn't just a short-term temporary fix to a
18 problem that's going to continue here. And there --
19 there should be other solutions that -- that should be
20 looked at here.

21 So, I -- I am admittedly struggling. If it
22 was not -- if it was any other use than the animal
23 hospital, this would be a -- a clear denial. And I
24 don't think it would have made it past the Zoning
25 Board of Appeals. But I -- I don't know if I'm alone

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 in my concern on this, which I -- which I very well
3 maybe.

4 I would like -- I would appreciate any
5 thoughts from other Board Members.

6 MS. CIVILETTI: Bill, what -- what sort of
7 improvements are you looking for with this
8 application?

9 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: I -- Laura, I really
10 don't think it can be improved. It's -- it's --
11 we're -- we're allowing, you know, ten pounds in a
12 five-pound bag. There just -- there is no way to
13 improve this. The way to improve this is, shared
14 parking agreements. It's -- there's -- there's empty
15 parking spaces literally five feet away. The -- 99
16 percent of the time. There's a large plaza about to
17 be built five feet away. And to my knowledge, there's
18 no -- there hasn't been any discussion. I was -- I
19 found it hard to imagine the -- one of the conditions
20 put on by the Zoning Board of a fence along that
21 property line. That is just not consistent with the
22 principles that we worked so hard to do. It's
23 substantially paved. I don't think there's very much
24 green space on the Bank of America. There's certainly
25 not much on the gas station. But I am concerned about

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 not applying the same principles of design and
3 planning to all applications. That's my concern. I
4 just wanted to hear from the other Board Members.

5 Jason, do you have any thoughts, or is this
6 not as -- not as concerning to you?

7 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: I mean, it's -- it is
8 but I just don't know what they could do, you know,
9 it's just -- it's just not a good situation all the
10 way around.

11 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Understood.

12 MS. CIVILETTI: I -- I'm concerned about
13 trying to hold -- hold this applicant to the same
14 standards as the adjacent parcels just based on the
15 fact that they're -- you know, they've been there for
16 such a long time. They're in such a small parcel.
17 They're -- they're very much landlocked. I think I'm
18 not convinced it's a fair comparison.

19 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: You know, especially
20 because the -- and correct me if I'm wrong -- there's
21 something I should have remembered but I don't quite.
22 But they can't share access. I mean, that was part of
23 the -- the Town made that decision; correct?

24 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: I think in the back. I
25 think in the back.

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: Right. And -- so, you
3 know, some of it is, is it really fair to hold them to
4 that when what we would like to do we can't because
5 the Town said no.

6 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Fair comment. David
7 Dollinger -- not David Dollinger. David Fader?

8 MR. BOEHNER: David, you need to unmute
9 yourself. Unmute yourself, David.

10 MR. FADER: I don't know. I don't really
11 have a strong opinion. I'm looking at the plan now
12 trying to come up with one. But right now, I really
13 don't want to say anything because I don't have a firm
14 understanding or belief in what I would say.

15 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: All right. How about
16 Pam?

17 MS. DELANEY: I mean, I think -- Bill, I
18 think we generally have pretty similar views on this.
19 In this case, I guess, from what I can tell all of the
20 expanded parking is in the rear; correct?

21 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: On the side as well.

22 MS. DELANEY: I mean, I do find it hard to,
23 you know, believe that they would need 30 spots in
24 addition to staff at any time. But I also -- I don't
25 know -- I don't know that much about the business. I

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 mean, I don't know. I guess I don't feel strongly
3 either way.

4 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: So I guess in a -- in
5 a -- in a perfect world, you know, we -- we would ask
6 for -- or we wouldn't -- maybe this is more something
7 we have to address further the Code on looking at
8 shared access and shared -- shared parking
9 arraignments and trying to incentivize that rather
10 than paving this, as much as this is going to be.
11 There isn't currently good pedestrian access. There
12 isn't good safe pedestrian access today. This is
13 certainly not going to make it better. But pedestrian
14 access on the trail will be -- will be okay as long as
15 they do the recommended adjustments to the -- to the
16 plan that Ramsey has brought up.

17 I guess, I just -- you know, going -- going
18 forward, I think this may be more of a code amendment
19 thing that we -- that we need to address and how
20 adjacent properties need to work with each other.

21 So, I just wanted to gauge the relative
22 importance of these issues and planning criteria that
23 it is hard to apply to an old site like this -- an
24 existing site like this. But we shouldn't forget them
25 and we shouldn't throw them out the window either.

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 All right. Any other -- any other comments?
3 Questions?

4 DAVID COX: Bill, I'd like to --

5 MR. BOEHNER: To the public too, Bill,
6 before we finish.

7 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Oh, sure. Yeah. David,
8 did you want to say something?

9 DAVID COX: Yeah. I just wanted to speak up
10 for a second. You know you had mentioned about, you
11 know, right now parking is -- is tight. And sometimes
12 there can be times when, you know, parking is -- is
13 pretty cramped there. And, you know, that we are
14 adding 11 -- 11 parking stalls. So, we're doing a 30
15 percent increase. So, we really feel that, you know,
16 adding a 30 percent increase is really going to, you
17 know, solve the parking issues and the parking
18 problems that we're currently having. And I'll let --
19 Terry Weiland's also on the -- on the line right now.
20 But he -- if you want, he can speak to -- he is --
21 they have reached out to, you know, the Bank of
22 America and the Whole Foods, you know, that they would
23 love to have, you know, shared parking. And they just
24 are -- can't get an agreement from -- from either of
25 the adjoining properties.

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: I think, David, if -- if
3 a parking problem manifested itself in complaints from
4 adjacent property owners where their -- their
5 customers are using spaces of an adjacent property
6 owner, if cars were parked out on Clover Street and
7 the Town was getting complaints, I don't know that
8 I've heard capacity complaints. Your -- you guys are
9 asking for the additional capacity. But I haven't
10 heard where the number of customers, the number of
11 doctors, the number of employees, is causing any real
12 problem to the adjacent properties. So, you know,
13 I -- I -- I have been there on a Sunday morning and
14 every parking spot is full. And I've been there on
15 a -- you know, a Wednesday afternoon and I'm the only
16 one in the front parking lot. So, it does run -- it
17 does run, you know, hot and cold. And those
18 fluctuations in use I completely understand.

19 So, I guess this is just one -- it would be
20 nice to know that there were some shared parking
21 agreements in ways, you know, because -- you know, the
22 little dogs that get walked by the staff are not going
23 to have a place anymore, you know. And Terry's going
24 to tell me he's going to walk them between the fence
25 and the parking spaces on the north side. That's --

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 that's not -- that's not right for the animals.

3 So, you're taking up green space. You're --
4 you're adding surface parking. And I think you're --
5 personally, I think you're going to be in the same
6 problem within months and looking for additional
7 solutions. But I'm -- my opinions have been
8 influenced by my fellow Board Members. So, if you
9 have something else, David, fine.

10 DAVID COX: No. I don't have anything
11 further.

12 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Thank you very much.
13 This -- this is a Public Hearing, assuming we can
14 handle this properly, I'd love to have somebody who
15 would like to speak be able to speak.

16 MR. BOEHNER: They could raise their hand.

17 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Raise hand or --

18 MR. BOEHNER: I don't see any chats.

19 MR. FRISCH: I don't see anybody.

20 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Nobody there. Okay.

21 All right. Are we ready to move on? David, Terry,
22 thank you.

23 MR. BOEHNER: David, thank you.

24 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Appreciate your patience
25 on this.

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 DAVID COX: Thank you.

3 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Application -- next one
4 is Application 8P-03-20.

5 APPLICATION 8P-03-20

6 Application of Passero Associates, agent,
7 and RFM Morgan Properties, owner, for site plan
8 modification to demolish two 20 stall carports and
9 replace with surface parking on property located at
10 2125 Monroe Avenue, Brighton Garden Apartments. All
11 as described on application and plans on file.

12 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: David, is that you?
13 Welcome. Just introduce yourself again, please.

14 MR. BOEHNER: I have to unmute him first.
15 Okay. There it goes.

16 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Oh.

17 DAVID COX: David Cox with Passero
18 Associates, the civil engineer for the project. So,
19 to bring you up to speed on where we've been since the
20 last time we were before this board, we did obtain our
21 required variance from the ZBA. So, we have that.

22 And then, there was also some comments
23 that -- there was some areas of the existing fence
24 that were in need of repair. So, we did go out there,
25 we surveyed those, and we did add those locations to

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 the plan for the fence that needs to be repaired.

3 We added additional landscape screening
4 along the entire south property line along Newcrest
5 Drive. Added 37 trees and shrubs. So, a substantial
6 amount for sure.

7 And then, we also similarly added notes that
8 the Town staff requested. And we did address all Town
9 staff comments and submitted all that additional
10 information.

11 And these -- these lights on this property
12 will also be 3,000K dark sky compliant.

13 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Very good. Thank you.
14 I've reviewed the modifications and I did listen in on
15 the ZBA approvals. I personally don't -- don't have
16 questions. Do Board Members have any questions for
17 David?

18 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: No.

19 MS. CIVILETTI: I do not.

20 MS. DELANEY: I'm all set.

21 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Thank you. Ramsey, any
22 questions?

23 MR. BOEHNER: Can I ask a question? David,
24 what is going to be done about the fence behind 70
25 Newcrest?

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 DAVID COX: It's going to be --

3 MR. BOEHNER: I've asked them a number of
4 times to repair it. Either they're going to move it
5 or take down the tree or something's going on there.

6 DAVID COX: Yeah. So, they were planning to
7 repair it when they do the -- the work. They're going
8 to bid it out together.

9 MR. BOEHNER: Because right now,
10 technically, that's a violation under Code. They need
11 to make a priority out of that if they would. If you
12 could send that to your client and let them know that.

13 DAVID COX: Okay. Absolutely.

14 MR. BOEHNER: We've contacted them about
15 that in the past. And we're not -- until -- I'm going
16 to recommend to this Board that we don't issue a
17 building permit until that fence is corrected and
18 fixed.

19 DAVID COX: Yeah. That's -- that's fine.

20 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: You're all set, Ramsey?

21 MR. BOEHNER: Yes, I am. Thank you, Bill.

22 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: All right. Hearing no
23 other questions, David, I'm going to open this up to
24 the public.

25 Is there anyone in the audience that would

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 care to address this application? Jeff or Ramsey, can
3 you see anyone?

4 MR. FRISCH: Seeing no hands raised.

5 MR. BOEHNER: I am not seeing anyone.

6 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Give it a few seconds
7 here. Okay. David, thank you.

8 DAVID COX: Thank you very much.

9 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay. Just a reminder
10 to anybody that's -- that is on, there is -- under
11 chat, Jeff Frisch has provided a link to the Town
12 website that has all the drawings associated with
13 these applications if you care to -- to look at the
14 application and the documents and the plans.

15 The next application is 9P-01-20.

16 APPLICATION 9P-01-20.

17 Application of Nicholas Leonardo, owner, for
18 site plan modification and woodlot EPOD permit
19 modification (9P-01-19 & 1P-01-20) to remove
20 additional trees for the purpose of constructing a new
21 house on property located west of the intersection of
22 Clover Street and Greenway Road, known as Tax ID#'s
23 122.16-1-5, 122.16-1-4 and 122.16-1-3. All as
24 described on application and plans on file.

25 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: I see Nicholas's iPhone.

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 MR. BOEHNER: That is him.

3 NICHOLAS LEONARDO: Yeah, I'm here.

4 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay. Welcome.

5 NICHOLAS LEONARDO: So -- hi. So, I'm Nick
6 Leonardo, I'm the property owner. On the call is Greg
7 McMahon, the engineer, and Josh Galiley, who is the
8 arborist who did the arborist plan.

9 So, last month we presented a plan where we
10 extended clearing lines. There's about 90 trees
11 versus the original plan of -- I think it was 25 to 30
12 trees. Somewhere in that range. We were asked by the
13 Planning Board to come back really just to mitigate
14 the tree loss and to come up with a mitigation plan.

15 So, what we did was, we went out and we got
16 the landscape architect and we got with the arborist.
17 And what we did was, on the plan we reviewed the trees
18 that were dead, structurally damaged, or diseased,
19 which was about 25 trees in addition to the other
20 trees that were previously approved. And then,
21 there's a -- I believe additional 20 trees that were
22 in the footprint of the house. And then also invasive
23 species. About two-thirds of the species proposed
24 were invasive species that we proposed to remove. And
25 then we would be using the mitigation plan to add --

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 add the trees back into the property to mitigate the
3 loss of any significant trees. Although, most of the
4 trees by the Town standard of 30 inches in diameter
5 are not -- there's not very many what you would call
6 significant trees being removed -- that are not
7 already in declining health.

8 And I think Greg, the engineer who's on the
9 call, can talk a little bit more about the plan.

10 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Thank you, Nick. Greg,
11 you there?

12 MR. BOEHNER: I don't see Greg.

13 MR. FRISCH: Yeah. I don't see Greg on.

14 NICHOLAS LEONARDO: Okay. He was on here
15 earlier. I don't know if he kind of got lost.

16 MR. BOEHNER: I did send him a -- the new
17 invite, Nick. Do you want to see if you could send it
18 to him?

19 NICHOLAS LEONARDO: I don't have his cell
20 phone number, only his office, and email. So, I
21 don't -- if he's not --

22 MR. BOEHNER: Yeah. I did -- I did send
23 him --

24 NICHOLAS LEONARDO: Yeah.

25 MR. BOEHNER: -- a link.

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 NICHOLAS LEONARDO: You know, I --

3 MR. BOEHNER: You know who might be able to
4 help us is Joshua. If you could explain the plan a
5 little bit and what the difference is between what
6 trees are primary and what trees are secondary?

7 JOSHUA GALILEY: Absolutely. So,
8 Mr. Leonardo hired me to -- to look at the property.
9 My scope of work was to look at the trees strictly
10 from my point of view. So, I didn't consider EPODs.
11 I didn't consider construction protection or other
12 mitigating options. So, this report tried its best
13 to -- to look as objectively as possible at the trees.

14 Initially, there were 121 trees on the
15 property. And at the last meeting, I believe 90 were
16 requested for removal -- or 91 rather. So, the update
17 of that is, as Nick mentioned, 21 trees have been
18 restored or -- you know, preferred to keep. So,
19 they're trying to remove 71 trees. And of those 71,
20 46 -- about 66 percent -- I found to either be in the
21 construction footprint or right on top of it hindering
22 the work or had significant structural defects, base
23 decay, cavities, severe fungal infections. And I'm
24 rendering them potentially unsafe, higher risk.

25 The remaining trees that -- there's 24 that

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 I called secondary. Where I'm more speculating that
3 they -- I -- are either poor -- poor -- poor tolerance
4 of construction or, you know, possibly -- you know,
5 would be damaged. But again, that's -- that's
6 speculation on my part, not knowing exactly where
7 things are going to go and what other protections are
8 in place encoded by the Town.

9 Of those 24 -- what was it -- two-thirds of
10 them are invasive species, like Norway maple and white
11 mulberry. So, generally not so desirable. And nine
12 of those trees are under eight inches in diameter.
13 You know, it's notable that in the trees that
14 Mr. Leonardo decided to restore were five mature oak
15 trees that were pretty far from the house. So, those,
16 you know -- it was -- I was -- I was glad to see them
17 retained.

18 I think, in addition, Nick has now provided
19 a -- a replanting of -- a plan to replant. There's a
20 landscape plan. It's not just showing the removals
21 but the restoration that -- that will happen
22 afterward. So, you know, the hope is that this is a
23 balance of efficiency and showing that many of the
24 trees that will be removed are either dead or
25 declining like ash trees. Some of them are invasive.

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 There's a large black walnut that -- it was actually
3 part of the initial -- initially approved trees back
4 last year, I think. Again, that -- that is -- isn't
5 expected to live.

6 And -- yeah. I mean, I can say just my
7 personal point of view, having worked in Central Park
8 and managed the trees there, I am very much for tree
9 preservation. You know, I -- I -- keeping those five
10 oaks, I think those were the -- the most valuable
11 trees in my eyes, you know, ecologically. And again,
12 this is outside of Town Code and EPOD and all that.
13 But the trees that Mr. Leonardo is looking to remove
14 arguably aren't the greatest of trees. And -- and
15 aren't terrible losses. So, that's kind of, you know,
16 where my scope of work ends. And I -- again,
17 there's -- there's definitely more to argue. But I
18 think the trees are reasonable to remove. And I think
19 having a plan moving forward and replanting, you know,
20 makes sense. So...

21 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Josh, my one -- quick.
22 Just on the application submitted, the trees that are
23 highlighted on the plant list in yellow --

24 JOSHUA GALILEY: Uh-huh.

25 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: -- those -- those are

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 trees that had been slated to come down that are now
3 going to remain --

4 JOSHUA GALILEY: So, no. The --

5 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: -- or is it the other
6 way around?

7 JOSHUA GALILEY: No. The -- the yellow were
8 the trees -- just make sure I got this right -- were
9 the trees initially approved back in August. So, I
10 think there were 19 trees if I got that right or --
11 just making sure I got this right. So, there's a list
12 right up at the top that -- that just lists the -- the
13 trees that were -- I'll call them restored. The trees
14 that were just -- Nick changed his mind. Decided to
15 keep.

16 But yeah. The -- the highlighted ones were
17 approved on the initial site plan. That's the point
18 of them is the yellow highlighted trees were initially
19 approved. And I included a map with just a red
20 outline that showed the -- the border around the trees
21 that were approved the first time around. And the
22 request went up to something like 90 trees. And now
23 it's down to 71. So --

24 NICHOLAS LEONARDO: Can I just -- can I make
25 a couple quick comments as well real quick before we

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 have any questions --

3 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Yes.

4 NICHOLAS LEONARDO: -- if that's all right?

5 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Of course.

6 NICHOLAS LEONARDO: Sorry. So, what I --
7 what I wanted to show -- because I read the comments
8 very thoroughly and I read the Town Code very
9 thoroughly. And, you know, I wanted to make sure
10 that -- hey, here's the -- here are the trees that we
11 were already were previously approved. Some of which
12 would be considered by Josh's, you know, estimation a
13 secondary source trees that the Town did agree to let
14 us take down. So, some of those secondary positioned
15 trees were already approved. So, I just wanted to
16 kind of note that.

17 And then, the rest -- I mean, I think in the
18 first meeting it was very half hazard. We didn't have
19 a lot of information about what we were supposed to be
20 doing with the trees. And I think by actually
21 analyzing the Code and analyzing what the Town's
22 expectation of an EPOD District is I think what we're
23 trying to prove is, you know, we're trying to preserve
24 as many live trees as possible that are not going to
25 be in the construction footprint or a hazard to the

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 home.

3 And also, you know, in the EPOD it does -- I
4 did bring up aesthetics before but it does say right
5 in Section -- Article 14 of the EPOD -- of the Town
6 Code, that the aesthetic is part of the EPOD. And I
7 think we're doing everything in our power with the
8 mitigation plan to keep that -- that -- that parcel
9 looking the way as much as possible it did before
10 without, you know, disrupting too much of the woodlot
11 itself. So...

12 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: All right. Thank you.
13 You know, I -- I -- I would say to -- to Josh and --
14 and you Nick, that this appears much more reasonable
15 and the question I would have for Greg is: Does
16 this -- does the clearing in the area that you're
17 going to remove the trees, does it constitute an area
18 of disturbance that is greater than what you had
19 originally applied for? One of the -- one of the
20 things we talked about last time was a -- a revised
21 grading plan that reflected the amount of disturbance.
22 And disturbance being where you were removing the
23 trees. And the fact that if you exceeded 20,000
24 square feet in disturbance, you would be held to
25 preparing a stormwater pollution prevention plan.

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 This would appear that you're not disturbing the --
3 near the area that you had proposed last time. And
4 what I'm trying to understand is, is it as much as
5 you -- or is it more than what you had originally had
6 been approved for?

7 NICHOLAS LEONARDO: I know Greg did some
8 updates to the grading plan. But it was my estimate
9 that beyond the area of disturbance that was
10 originally marked out, that the grading would be more
11 of a landscape, not an actual construction --

12 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Construction --

13 NICHOLAS LEONARDO: Yeah. Because -- I
14 mean, essentially outside of that original area
15 disturbance, the trees that are being removed -- I
16 mean, we have to cut them down. And then they do have
17 to take out the stumps. But then basically they're
18 just putting the dirt back. So, I don't believe that
19 there's going to be a mass overhaul of excavation
20 happening outside of the area of disturbance.

21 You know, and -- and when I -- I,
22 unfortunately, did not realize this was going back to
23 the Conservation Board but when I listened to the
24 comments on that meeting, one of their concerns was
25 making sure that we only remove the trees that are

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 approved and -- and I -- you know, we're going to be
3 out there ourselves with the engineer roping off every
4 tree that has to get taken down. So, we're trying to
5 preserve as much -- as little as possible in that
6 area.

7 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Yeah.

8 NICHOLAS LEONARDO: It's going to be a very
9 targeted approach. So, I -- hopefully that answers
10 your question.

11 MR. BOEHNER: Bill, I could answer your
12 question a little bit too. The previous plan --

13 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Thank you.

14 MR. BOEHNER: -- had 18,800 square feet.

15 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: That's the approved
16 plan; right?

17 MR. BOEHNER: Yeah. And then the updated
18 plans are at 22. And our Town Code -- it says you
19 have to do a SWIP at 20. So, my understanding, as
20 proposed, they would need to do a SWIP.

21 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: So --

22 MR. BOEHNER: This is coming from the Town
23 Engineer, Evert Garcia.

24 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: All right. So, without
25 Greg on I think that if -- if you do determine,

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 Ramsey, that it's -- that it's something north of
3 18,800 but less than 20,000, I think, you know, the
4 SWIP wouldn't be required. But you're saying --

5 MR. BOEHNER: Yeah. If you wanted to modify
6 it, Bill, to get it under that --

7 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Yeah. I just -- see,
8 what I'm wondering is --

9 MR. BOEHNER: I -- I would -- you know, you
10 could try to do that too. That's not what's being
11 proposed right now. Right now --

12 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay.

13 MR. BOEHNER: -- they're proposing to do
14 what they're doing and that would require a SWIP is my
15 understanding from the Town Engineer.

16 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Understood. So, I -- to
17 Nick, I'm -- I'm suggesting that you, and Greg, and
18 the Town Engineer just collaborate to -- on the
19 definition of disturbance. And where -- where the
20 disturbance is drawn.

21 NICHOLAS LEONARDO: Okay.

22 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Usually comes from a
23 grade -- a grading plan.

24 NICHOLAS LEONARDO: Okay.

25 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay?

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 NICHOLAS LEONARDO: Okay. Yeah. I
3 thought that's what Greg told me but maybe -- maybe
4 something got missed. But I'll make sure he contacts
5 the Town Engineer tomorrow morning.

6 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Yeah. What's just not
7 clear to me is, if the 22,000 square feet is -- was a
8 result of your 91 tree clearance or your 71 tree
9 clearance.

10 NICHOLAS LEONARDO: I understand.

11 MR. BOEHNER: I think it's 71, Bill, because
12 we did use the term updated. But that's also
13 something we need to talk with them about.

14 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Thanks. I just
15 wanted --

16 MR. BOEHNER: And then I think it can
17 maybe -- it can be adjusted too. I mean -- and by the
18 way, Bill, it's area disturbance, which would include
19 the disruption from the tree removal. So, that --
20 it's the -- it's that whole limit. So...

21 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Understood.

22 MR. BOEHNER: Maybe he wants to save -- try
23 to save a few of those trees. I'm not sure.

24 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay. All right.

25 MR. BOEHNER: Oh, he can do the SWIP too, I

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 mean --

3 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Yeah. So -- okay. All
4 right. I don't have any further questions. I'd like
5 the Board to ask any questions you have about this.

6 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: I'm good.

7 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Jason, you're good?

8 MS. CIVILETTI: I'm good.

9 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Laura. David?

10 MR. FADER: I'm a little curious because I
11 saw the Conservation Board's comment that, if
12 possible, to avoid removing the trees that have only
13 secondary reasoning for having them taken down. And I
14 have to say, I kind of agree with them because looking
15 at some of these -- just for example 20, 21, 22, 23,
16 they're outside of the construction area. Why not
17 just protect them?

18 NICHOLAS LEONARDO: 21, 22, 23.

19 MR. FADER: I only picked those four as an
20 example.

21 NICHOLAS LEONARDO: Oh, okay. I see what
22 you're saying.

23 JOSHUA GALILEY: You're talking about trees
24 number 20, 21, 22, and 23?

25 MR. FADER: Yeah. 20 -- 20, 21, 22, 23.

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 There's other ones too. I'm not going to list them
3 all. But you still have a bunch of trees that you're
4 taking down only because you're going to damage them
5 while you're doing construction and I think it would
6 be simpler just not to damage them.

7 NICHOLAS LEONARDO: You know, that --
8 there -- there was some trees that I spoke to the
9 landscape architect about trying to save some of those
10 perimeter trees that you're talking about that are
11 kind of away from the house more of a buffer from the
12 railroad. I'm not sure -- and, you know, forgive me.
13 I'm not an expert. But I -- you know, it's hard --
14 the mitigation plan when you're not that familiar with
15 it, it's hard to tell if he's actually saving some of
16 those trees by the railroad because the engineering
17 plan is -- it's a little more detailed than the
18 mitigation plan. But, you know, that would really be
19 a question more for the landscape architect.

20 Unfortunately, I -- I didn't necessarily
21 say, hey, we have to remove these because they're a
22 pretty good buffer. You know, it was, I think, more
23 that they were invasive and that's why he thought it
24 was best to remove them. I know the Conservation
25 Board was kind of a mixed bag, you know. They were

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 kind of on the fence. You know, half of them were
3 kind of saying, yeah, I -- I don't really mind
4 removing these invasive trees. And then, you know,
5 the only reason they were really saying, well, maybe
6 not because are we giving them free rein to remove
7 whatever trees he wants. Are they actually going to
8 go out there and mark the trees that we're approving?
9 So, I can understand that argument. I think, you
10 know, that's -- I mean, we have to do what you guys
11 approve. If -- if we weren't going to do what you
12 approved, we would just be out there breaking all the
13 rules, you know, and that's why we're here. So...

14 MS. DELANEY: I think I agree with David as
15 well that, like, the primary justification trees I'm
16 fine with. It's the secondary justification that, you
17 know, how do we know that most of these trees are
18 going to have post-construction stress and decline
19 when that hasn't happened yet. So, I think -- I think
20 I'm also still struggling with those as well.

21 MR. FADER: And the whole point of a tree
22 protection plan during construction is so that there
23 is no post construction stress and decline.

24 NICHOLAS LEONARDO: Sure. I understand. Do
25 keep in mind though some of those -- a lot of those

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 secondary trees he did mention are less than eight
3 inches in diameter. So -- and some of them are pretty
4 frail. There's no branches on a lot of the trees out
5 there. I know the -- gosh -- Mike Guyon said he did
6 take a look at the property and say, yeah, there are a
7 lot of -- quite a few smaller, very small in diameter,
8 insignificant trees out there that are not in the
9 greatest shape. And I think some of those secondary
10 trees, those less than eight inches in diameter trees,
11 are -- are kind of falling into that bucket where --
12 but there are -- there are some larger ones. I'm not
13 disagreeing with that. Again, I think that would be
14 more reserved for a conversation for the landscape
15 architect or the Conservation Board because, like I
16 said, they were kind of on the fence on those.

17 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Nick, could I offer a --
18 a potential solution for David and Pam's consideration
19 would be maybe leave -- leave those. I think,
20 possibly if -- I know -- I know the landscape
21 architect may have said that the existing plant
22 materials might compete with the new plant materials
23 and want to give the new materials a chance to develop
24 properly and not become one-sided or start reaching
25 for light because of competition. That -- that's a

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 possibility. I'm putting -- that's just my own
3 thought.

4 But if those are easy enough to -- if
5 they're small in diameter and easy enough to take
6 down, is that something that you would be willing to
7 not remove right now, leave for now, and then come
8 back in the future if you -- if you find that they're
9 easy enough to remove without large equipment and that
10 they are in fact competing for -- with the new plant
11 materials?

12 NICHOLAS LEONARDO: I think that -- to your
13 point that, you know -- there are -- you know, there
14 are the smaller very, very, very, very small ones that
15 during the construction maybe, like -- a six -- if you
16 saw some of these very small ones, like they could
17 probably fit in your house they're so small, you know,
18 those are the ones that, in the secondary condition
19 areas, that you could try to save them. But I mean, I
20 feel like a strong gust of wind could kind of blow
21 some of them down if you looked at some of the stuff
22 on the -- on the actual land.

23 The larger trees -- do I believe that there
24 are some bordering trees that are in the secondary
25 position that the landscape architect is marking for

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 removal because of invasive, could we save some of
3 those? I believe on the -- there are some probably on
4 the bordering edges that I told him that I think would
5 be great if we could try to save them. But again, I'm
6 not the professional. These are his recommendations.
7 So, do I think that's possible? Yeah. I do.

8 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay.

9 MS. DELANEY: May I ask another question?

10 NICHOLAS LEONARDO: Uh-huh.

11 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Please.

12 MS. DELANEY: What -- what is the problem
13 with, like, a Norway maple? Why is this, you know,
14 the fact that it's considered invasive. Why is this
15 an issue on a lot like this?

16 NICHOLAS LEONARDO: Josh?

17 JOSHUA GALILEY: An invasive tree is, you
18 know, in some ways better than no tree. But as a
19 Norway maple, they're just not very ecological
20 beneficial. They tend to shade out a lot of the
21 understory. You don't get a lot of understory growing
22 under them. They have an incredible amount of seed
23 dispersal and successful seed. So, it's not uncommon
24 to see a carpet of Norway maple. So, they really tend
25 to -- as the name -- term -- term implies, they invade

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 in. And for -- certainly, native woodland areas.
3 They will take over and they will seed in and create
4 essentially a monoculture and reduce diversity.

5 So, they absolutely are better -- it's
6 better to have Norway maples on -- on say, an
7 abandoned lot. You know, don't want bare soil.
8 That's no good. But if -- if you have a chance to
9 manage that parcel of land, ideally you will remove
10 the -- the invasive plants and plant, you know, native
11 or at least less invade -- less invasive trees and --
12 and other shrubs.

13 MS. DELANEY: Okay. Thank you.

14 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Good explanation. Thank
15 you. Other questions from the Board? Okay. Thank
16 you.

17 This is a Public Hearing. Is there anyone
18 in the audience that cares to address this
19 application? Jeff or Ramsey, are you seeing anybody?

20 MR. FRISCH: I don't see anybody.

21 MR. BOEHNER: No, I'm not.

22 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: All right. All right.
23 Josh, Nick, thank you.

24 NICHOLAS LEONARDO: Thank you.

25 JOSHUA GALILEY: Thank you.

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Ramsey, you'll be in
3 touch with Greg and there will be more discussions.

4 MR. BOEHNER: Yes. After we figure out what
5 the Board does.

6 MR. FRISCH: Greg is -- Greg is on the call
7 now. Do you have specific questions for him?

8 MR. BOEHNER: Greg is here, Bill.

9 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Oh, he is?

10 MR. BOEHNER: Yup.

11 GREG McMAHON: Sorry. I had a bit of a
12 problem reconnecting.

13 MR. DOLLINGER: You may want to ask him if
14 he has any questions or comments, Bill. I don't know.

15 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Greg, I think we're --
16 you'll see some -- that there just needs to be more
17 discussion about the total area of disturbance as a
18 result of the modified tree removal plan and --
19 because we're -- we -- you know, the -- Nick is going
20 to have to have a SWIP done if that disturbance
21 exceeds 20,000 square feet. And we just want to know
22 if the reduction in the number of -- number of trees
23 to be removed brings us under that threshold back --
24 back under the threshold.

25 GREG McMAHON: That's hard to say. I

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 mean -- you know, we can -- we can certainly prepare a
3 SWIP if -- if it does -- if it does exceed and we
4 can't bring it under the 20,000 square feet of
5 disturbance. You know, other than preparing the SWIP,
6 I don't see any great obstacles. It's just another
7 step. I mean, if the -- if the Board decides to
8 approve his plans, we'll prepare a SWIP in accordance
9 with the Town requirements.

10 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay. Yeah. And all --
11 all we're asking is, just work with -- with the Town
12 Engineer to confirm the actual area of disturbance.
13 And then if a SWIP is unavoidable, then please prepare
14 it.

15 GREG McMAHON: Yeah. That won't be a
16 problem.

17 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: All right. Thank you.
18 All right. And if you were on previously, we
19 apologize for our significant disruption tonight.

20 We are ready to move on to Application
21 10P-01-20

22 APPLICATION 10P-01-20

23 Application of FSI Construction/ Frank
24 Imburgia, owner, for extensions of site plan approval
25 and EPOD (watercourse) permit approval (10P-01-19) and

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020
2 demolition review and approval allowing for the
3 raising of a single-family home and the construction
4 of a 10,000 plus/minus square feet office building on
5 property located at 3300 Brighton Henrietta Town Line
6 Road. All as described on application and plans on
7 file.

8 MR. BOEHNER: Tim Harris is here, Bill.

9 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Tim Harris? Okay.

10 TIM HARRIS: Yeah. Thanks, Mr. Chairman and
11 Ramsey for that. Yeah. Just here tonight
12 representing FSI Construction and Imburgia Brothers
13 Holdings -- say that five times fast -- for the -- for
14 the proposed project at 3300 Brighton Henrietta Town
15 Line Road. We did receive approval about a year ago.
16 So, we're simply asking for an extension of the site
17 plan approval EPOD permit and the demolition approval
18 from last year.

19 The main -- excuse me. The plans have not
20 changed since that time. Simply what happened was, a
21 tenant was not able to be obtained within the last
22 year. Since then, the -- the owner has a -- a client
23 and a tenant lined up and will be applying for a
24 building permit in the next few months here.

25 So, again, just asking for an extension of

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 those three approvals from about a year ago.

3 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Very good.

4 MR. BOEHNER: Have there been any changes
5 made to the approved plans?

6 TIM HARRIS: There have not, no.

7 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Tim, did you secure an
8 extension on the variances?

9 TIM HARRIS: Oh, yeah. Actually, great
10 point. Yeah. Yes. I believe it was two weeks ago,
11 we did get approval on the three variances that were
12 granted. Thank you.

13 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay. I don't have any
14 questions. Do any Board Members have questions?

15 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: No.

16 MR. BOEHNER: No, I don't.

17 MS. CIVILETTI: All set.

18 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: All right. Thanks, Tim.

19 TIM HARRIS: Okay.

20 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay. So, this is --
21 this is also a Public Hearing and if there's anybody
22 that cares to address this application for extension
23 of site plan and EPOD permits, please raise your hand.
24 All right. No one -- no one out there for this one?

25 MR. BOEHNER: I'm not seeing anyone.

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay. Thank you. All
3 right. The next application is 10P-02-20.

4 APPLICATION 10P-02-20

5 Application of Michael Cromer, owner, for
6 preliminary and final site plan approval to construct
7 a 2,200 plus/minus square foot single-family home with
8 a 481 plus/minus square foot attached garage on
9 property located at 69 Rockhill Road. All as
10 described on application and plans on file.

11 Do we have someone? Is Michael with us?

12 TOM FROMBERGER: I think Michael dropped
13 off. But -- oh, he's here.

14 MR. BOEHNER: I think we have everyone.

15 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay. We have Tom.
16 Tom, introduce yourself, please. Thank you.

17 TOM FROMBERGER: Tom Fromberger, MRB Group.
18 Michael Cromer, owner of 69 Rockhill Road. And then,
19 Cameron and Jess from Loyal Nine Development. Thank
20 you, guys. We are here requesting site plan approval
21 for the reconstruction of Mike and Brenda's home,
22 which was damaged beyond repair from a fire back in
23 June of this year.

24 Loyal Nine Development is planning to
25 rebuild the new four-bedroom home using the existing

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 foundation and the existing split level floor design.
3 We did go before the Architectural Review Board, and
4 as well as receive Conservation Board review in
5 October. We've also gotten Monroe County DRC
6 comments.

7 The new home will be approximately the same
8 square footage, all except for the building addition
9 off of the back of the garage on the southwest corner.
10 And that will be used as a flex slash guest room area.
11 That's the --

12 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay.

13 TOM FROMBERGER: -- quick overview.

14 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay. And -- and, Tom,
15 I believe you said this, you have received ARB
16 approval?

17 TOM FROMBERGER: Yes.

18 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay. Were -- were
19 there any variances? There were -- there's no
20 variances required?

21 MR. BOEHNER: Bill, if I could answer that
22 question for Tom?

23 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Go ahead.

24 MR. BOEHNER: The house being damaged by
25 fire, the house that was damaged was a non-compliant

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 building due to setbacks. And under -- pursuant to
3 our Code of Section 225-14B of the Comprehensive
4 Development Regulations, a non-complying building
5 destroyed by fire or other casualties may be rebuilt
6 provided that the action does not increase the degree
7 or create any new non-conformity or non-compliance
8 with regard to the regulations pertaining to such
9 building. So, I'm using that in this case, Bill --

10 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay.

11 MR. BOEHNER: -- and the Board. So, they
12 don't need to go for variances because they were
13 nonconforming -- and most of the houses I bet in that
14 neighborhood don't meet the setback because they were
15 all built before we changed the Code. So, that is the
16 section that's being used. And they have submitted a
17 revised drawing to me a couple of days ago showing
18 what the -- where the old building walls and overhangs
19 were versus what they are proposing now, and I've
20 checked that, and that all seems to be compliant with
21 the Code.

22 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: All right. All right.
23 I looked at the plans. I don't have any questions,
24 Tom. Are there any questions from the Board?

25 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: None here.

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 MR. BOEHNER: Is the property going to be
3 fenced during construction? I know you've got it
4 fenced now.

5 CAMERON SFORMO: The fence is up now.
6 Ramsey, we have a six-foot -- I'm sorry, I'm Cameron
7 Sformo. I'm the owner of Loyal Nine Development --

8 MR. BOEHNER: Okay.

9 CAMERON SFORMO: -- pleasure to finally meet
10 you.

11 MR. BOEHNER: Okay.

12 CAMERON SFORMO: We currently have a
13 six-foot safety construction fence, chain-link
14 construction fence, on the property. We've enlarged
15 the area that we originally had to ensure that the
16 construction be -- can take place within side the
17 borders of the construction fence that's currently in
18 place now. So, there should be no reason to move it
19 as construction continues. The rear property is
20 boarded by an existing wood six-foot fence section.
21 So, plainly, the whole property is enclosed by a
22 six-foot chain-link safety fence system.

23 MR. BOEHNER: Your roof leaders, Tom, are
24 going to be connected to the storm system?

25 TOM FROMBERGER: That's correct. Yup.

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 MR. BOEHNER: I don't have any other
3 questions.

4 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Pam, did you have a
5 question?

6 MS. DELANEY: No. I'm all set.

7 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay.

8 MS. CIVILETTI: I'm all set.

9 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Laura, you're all set?

10 And David?

11 MR. FADER: All set.

12 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay. Hearing no other
13 questions, I will thank the applicant, and Tom, and
14 Loyal Nine.

15 This is a Public Hearing. Is there anybody
16 in the audience that cares to address this
17 application?

18 MR. BOEHNER: Not seeing any.

19 TOM FROMBERGER: Thank you for your time,
20 guys.

21 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay. Thank you
22 appreciate it.

23 CAMERON SFORMO: Thank you.

24 MICHAEL CROMER: Thank you.

25 BRENDA CROMER: Thank you.

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 MR. BOEHNER: You're welcome.

3 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: A reminder that under
4 new business, Application 9P-NB1-20 has been postponed
5 to our November 18th meeting. So, that -- that
6 application of Baptist Bible Temple will not be --
7 will not be heard tonight. So, that is the last of
8 our Public Hearings.

9 I guess we will now go back to the beginning
10 and take up our -- our deliberations on these
11 applications. Okay. Let's go back. And then, after
12 these, we'll do the signs.

13 Does -- all right. Let's go with the --
14 reminder 8P-01-20 is on for next month if they apply.

15 So, the first one for tonight is 8P-02-20.
16 This was Passero and New Monroe Real Estate for the
17 expansion of parking at 2816 Monroe Avenue at the
18 animal hospital. Is there a motion to close the --
19 the Public Hearing?

20 MS. CIVILETTI: I'll move to close the
21 Public Hearing.

22 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Motion.

23 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: Second.

24 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Seconded by Jason.

25 Okay. Moved and seconded. All in favor -- Ramsey,

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 you have to call the roll. I'm sorry.

3 MR. BOEHNER: Yup. Hold on for one second,
4 Bill.

5 Member Price?

6 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Aye.

7 MR. BOEHNER: Fader?

8 MR. FADER: Aye.

9 MR. BOEHNER: Civiletti?

10 MS. CIVILETTI: Aye.

11 MR. BOEHNER: Delaney.

12 MS. DELANEY: Aye.

13 MR. BOEHNER: Babcock-Stiner?

14 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: Aye.

15 MR. BOEHNER: The hearing is closed.

16 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay. Do we have a
17 motion on the application and environmental
18 assessment, the SEQR determination?

19 MR. FADER: I move the Board approves
20 application 8P-02-20 based on the testimony given,
21 plans submitted, the 20 conditions, and that the Board
22 adopts a negative declaration prepared by Town staff.

23 MS. CIVILETTI: Second.

24 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Moved and seconded.
25 David and Laura. Is there any further discussion?

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 Ramsey, were there any amendments to conditions?

3 MR. BOEHNER: No, not that I identified
4 during the --

5 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Not that you heard?
6 Location of fence and trees off of the -- that's on
7 the conditions though; right?

8 MR. BOEHNER: Yes. And that the gate remain
9 open.

10 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay. All right. Moved
11 and seconded. No amendments to the conditions. Could
12 you call the roll, please?

13 MR. BOEHNER: Member Price.

14 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Aye.

15 MR. BOEHNER: Fader?

16 MR. FADER: Aye.

17 MR. BOEHNER: Civiletti?

18 MS. CIVILETTI: Aye.

19 MR. BOEHNER: Delaney?

20 MS. DELANEY: Aye.

21 MR. BOEHNER: Babcock-Stiner?

22 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: Aye.

23 MR. BOEHNER: Motion passes.

24 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Thank you. Brings us to
25 Application 8P-03-20, Passero Associates, RFM Morgan

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 properties for a site plan modification. This does
3 include a demolition approval, as well as the site
4 plan modification, and SEQR. Is there a motion to
5 close the Public Hearing?

6 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: I move we close the
7 Public Hearing.

8 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Thank you.

9 MS. DELANEY: I'll second.

10 MR. BOEHNER: I'm sorry, who seconded?

11 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Pam seconded it.

12 MR. BOEHNER: Delaney, second?

13 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Yup.

14 MR. BOEHNER: Member Price.

15 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Aye.

16 MR. BOEHNER: Fader?

17 MR. FADER: Aye.

18 MR. BOEHNER: Civiletti?

19 MS. CIVILETTI: Aye.

20 MR. BOEHNER: Delaney?

21 MS. DELANEY: Aye.

22 MR. BOEHNER: Babcock-Stiner?

23 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: Aye.

24 MR. BOEHNER: Hearing is closed.

25 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Thank you. Can we have

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 a motion? Taking a deep breath, David.

3 MR. FADER: I move the Board adopts the
4 demolition findings outlined in the Planning Board
5 report. And that the Board approves application
6 8P-03-20 based on testimony given, and the 23
7 conditions, and that the Board adopts a negative
8 declaration prepared by Town staff.

9 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Is there a second?

10 MS. CIVILETTI: Second.

11 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: David and Laura moved
12 and seconded. Is there any discussion on conditions?
13 Ramsey, you had a --

14 MR. BOEHNER: I have nothing else.

15 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: That recommendation
16 is --

17 MR. BOEHNER: Let me just double check here.
18 I don't have anything else.

19 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: All right. Please call
20 the roll.

21 MR. BOEHNER: We'll go the other way.
22 Babcock-Stiner?

23 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: Aye.

24 MR. BOEHNER: Delaney?

25 MS. DELANEY: Aye.

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020
2 MR. BOEHNER: Civiletti?
3 MS. CIVILETTI: Aye.
4 MR. BOEHNER: Fader?
5 MR. FADER: Aye.
6 MR. BOEHNER: Member Price?
7 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Aye.
8 MR. BOEHNER: Motion passes.
9 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Thank you. That brings
10 us to Application 9P-01-20, Nicholas Leonardo, for
11 site plan modification and woodlot EPOD permit
12 modification. This is for the Leonardo property at
13 Clover and Greenway Road. Do we have a motion to
14 close the Public Hearing?
15 MS. CIVILETTI: I'll move to close the
16 Public Hearing.
17 MR. FADER: I'll second that.
18 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Moved and seconded.
19 Please call the roll.
20 MR. BOEHNER: Babcock-Stiner?
21 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: Aye.
22 MR. BOEHNER: Delaney?
23 MS. DELANEY: Aye.
24 MR. BOEHNER: Civiletti?
25 MS. CIVILETTI: Aye.

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 MR. BOEHNER: Fader?

3 MR. FADER: Aye.

4 MR. BOEHNER: Member Price?

5 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Aye.

6 MR. BOEHNER: Meeting is closed.

7 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Thank you. Why don't we
8 get a motion and a second and see if there's
9 refinement at all to the -- to conditions.

10 MS. CIVILETTI: I'll move approval of
11 Application 9P-01-20 based on plans submitted,
12 testimony given, and 11 conditions, and adoption of a
13 negative declaration.

14 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: Second.

15 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay. Laura and Jason
16 moved and seconded.

17 MR. BOEHNER: I have one comment. I just
18 want to point out the last number-- condition number
19 11. Condition number 11 is the Planning Board -- I
20 mean, the Conservation Board's recommendation to this
21 board. If you want to approve the plan as submitted,
22 you would remove that condition.

23 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Please -- Ramsey, I
24 don't have that in front of me. Could you please read
25 it?

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 MR. BOEHNER: Yeah. It says condition
3 number 11, it says the tree removal shall be limited
4 to only removing the primary trees as discussed in the
5 revised tree removal justification document submitted
6 by arbor consulting. The applicant shall submit a
7 revised tree removal plan that addresses this
8 condition.

9 The proposed mitigation plan shall be
10 implemented if any primary trees are removed. The
11 trees should not be removed to create space for the
12 stockpile. The contractor shall provide adequate tree
13 protection to avoid disturbance and limit the stress
14 to the secondary trees during construction.

15 The proposed mitigation plan shall be
16 implemented if any primary trees are removed.

17 And that was pretty much the recommendation
18 of the Conservation Board.

19 MS. DELANEY: Can you explain that a little
20 bit more? I -- I guess I'm confused at --

21 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: I think it's getting at
22 the heart of what your question was is -- is why are
23 those secondary trees towards the perimeter slated
24 for -- for removal. In -- in Mr. -- Mr. Leonardo's --
25 you know, his consultant was -- was saying to do it

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 because they're -- primary because they're a known
3 invasive species. I would not be surprised if he also
4 wanted to do it for reasons of, you know, giving
5 the -- the newly planted trees a better chance of
6 survival. But I didn't necessarily hear that. It's
7 not that they couldn't come back and ask for removal
8 of secondary trees -- what's defined as secondary
9 trees at a later -- at a future date if it turned out
10 there somehow really was stress caused and there's a
11 decline in the trees or if they are competing with
12 newly planted trees.

13 MS. DELANEY: So, when I'm looking at that
14 Appendix A, we're only talking about the ones now that
15 are in the primary justification column?

16 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Ramsey, that's my
17 interpretation of number 11.

18 MR. BOEHNER: Yes. That's my
19 interpretation. It was a little hard to tell what's
20 primary, what's secondary on the plans. That's why I
21 asked him if he could just explain that a little bit
22 to the Board.

23 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: And I -- I was
24 comfortable with -- I -- I understand it. But --

25 MR. FADER: What if -- what if we said

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 limited to the primary trees and invasive secondary
3 trees?

4 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: You know, can we -- what
5 if we said invasive secondary's less than eight inches
6 in caliber? Is that -- does that help anybody?

7 MR. FADER: I could go for that too.

8 MS. DELANEY: Yeah. I mean, I guess I still
9 struggle to understand, like -- if it's a big healthy
10 tree, then -- I don't know.

11 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: This is hard to -- this
12 is hard to understand. So --

13 MS. DELANEY: But I did it fundamentally.
14 But, like, it's your own private lot. If it starts,
15 like, sprouting little sprouts all over your yard,
16 then pick them up in the spring. I don't know. I
17 mean, we're not talking about a forest where it's
18 going to, like, ruin the habitat. We're talking about
19 your yard.

20 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Well --

21 MS. DELANEY: I don't know.

22 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay.

23 MS. DELANEY: I don't know.

24 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: I -- I -- I -- I would
25 be good with David's -- with the -- kind of amending

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 that to secondary trees less than eight inches in
3 caliber -- invasive species less than eight inches in
4 caliber.

5 MR. FADER: So, that's an -- that's an "and"
6 not an "or"?

7 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Invasive species that --

8 MR. BOEHNER: No. I think it's "and".

9 Removing primary trees and secondary --

10 MR. FADER: Trees less than eight inches in
11 diameter.

12 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Oh, wait --

13 MS. DELANEY: No. Secondary invasive trees.

14 MR. FADER: Yes. But he also said, less
15 than eight inches and invasive. And I'm wondering if
16 he means that or if he means secondary trees less than
17 eight inches --

18 MR. PRICE: No.

19 MR. FADER: -- and secondary trees that are
20 invasive?

21 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: No. They need to be
22 invasive and less than eight inches in caliber.

23 MR. BOEHNER: Okay. Let's try it again. We
24 got secondary trees less than eight inches in caliber
25 that are invasive.

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Correct.

3 MR. BOEHNER: And then the rest of that
4 condition can stay.

5 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Right. I -- and I think
6 I have the same concern that the -- that I did read in
7 the Conservation Board comments is, how -- how are we
8 going to control this now? I know -- I know Nick
9 is -- is proposing to -- to be there. But it's
10 certainly not unheard of that, you know, a tree
11 company gets out there and starts going and -- unless
12 these trees are marked and carefully, you know,
13 identified we could lose trees. And that's what
14 you -- you don't want, you know people coming back and
15 saying, hey, they took down one of those 30-inch oaks
16 that they said they were going to protect. It's --
17 it's hard -- it's hard to do. But I think the
18 Conservation Board brought up that concern as well.

19 Okay. And I -- I just think, you know, we
20 just need to reinforce the significance of, you know,
21 somebody making sure that the right trees are -- are
22 coming down.

23 MR. BOEHNER: Yeah. Part of it is trusting
24 confidence.

25 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Yeah.

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 MR. BOEHNER: By the time we get there it's
3 always too late.

4 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Right. Okay.

5 MR. BOEHNER: So, we have a modification to
6 number 11. And that's all the notes that I had.

7 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: All right. The motion
8 was made and was seconded -- or the -- are the mover
9 and seconder okay with the modification to the
10 condition?

11 MS. CIVILETTI: Yes.

12 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay. Thank you. All
13 right. Please call the roll.

14 MR. BOEHNER: Price?

15 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Yes. Aye.

16 MR. BOEHNER: Fader?

17 MR. FADER: Aye.

18 MR. BOEHNER: Civiletti?

19 MS. CIVILETTI: Aye.

20 MR. BOEHNER: Delaney?

21 MS. DELANEY: Aye.

22 MR. BOEHNER: Babcock-Stiner?

23 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: Aye.

24 MR. BOEHNER: Approved with conditions.

25 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay. That brings us to

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 Application 10P-01-20, application of FSI Construction
3 and Frank Imburgia, although I think I heard
4 another -- Imburgia Brothers or something -- for site
5 plan approval and EPOD permit approval, demolition
6 review, and approval. This is an extension of all of
7 those.

8 MR. BOEHNER: It's an extension and
9 re-approvals is really what it is.

10 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: And re-approvals being
11 the demolition and EPOD.

12 MR. BOEHNER: And EPOD because these things
13 expire. They -- they've made no changes so I'm just
14 adopting the previous application.

15 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Understood. Okay. Do
16 we have a motion to close the Public Hearing?

17 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: Move we close the
18 Public Hearing.

19 MR. BOEHNER: Babcock-Stiner?

20 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: Yup.

21 MS. DELANEY: Second.

22 MR. FADER: I'll second it.

23 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay. Pam broke the
24 tie. She gets it.

25 MR. BOEHNER: Member Price?

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020
2 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Aye.
3 MR. BOEHNER: Fader?
4 MR. FADER: Aye.
5 MR. BOEHNER: Civiletti?
6 MS. CIVILETTI: Aye.
7 MR. BOEHNER: Delaney?
8 MS. DELANEY: Aye.
9 MR. BOEHNER: Babcock-Stiner?
10 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: Aye.
11 MR. BOEHNER: Osowski?
12 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: He's out.
13 MR. BOEHNER: Oh, I didn't draw my line down
14 long enough on my sheet here. There we go. Okay.
15 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay. Do we have a
16 motion for the site plan extension, and EPOD permit
17 approval, and demolition review and approval, and
18 SEQR?
19 MR. FADER: Okay. I move the Board adopts
20 the site plan extension. The Board adopts the
21 demolition findings outlined in the planning report.
22 The Board re-approves the Application 10P-01-20 based
23 on testimony given, plans submitted, and 11
24 conditions, and the Board adopts a negative
25 declaration prepared by Town staff.

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Well done, David.

3 MS. CIVILETTI: Second.

4 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Moved and seconded. Is
5 there any further discussion? Ramsey, please go ahead
6 and call the roll.

7 MR. BOEHNER: Babcock-Stiner?

8 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: Aye.

9 MR. BOEHNER: Delaney?

10 MS. DELANEY: Aye.

11 MR. BOEHNER: Civiletti?

12 MS. CIVILETTI: Aye.

13 MR. BOEHNER: Fader?

14 MR. FADER: Aye.

15 MR. BOEHNER: Price?

16 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Aye.

17 MR. BOEHNER: Motion passes.

18 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: All right. That brings
19 us to Application 10P-02-20, Michael Cromer, for
20 preliminary and final site plan approval for the new
21 home at 69 Rockhill Road. Is there a motion to close
22 the Public Hearing?

23 MS. DELANEY: I'll move to close it.

24 MR. BOEHNER: I have Delaney?

25 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Yeah.

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: I'll second.

3 MR. BOEHNER: Babcock-Stiner.

4 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay. Moved and

5 seconded.

6 MR. BOEHNER: Member Price?

7 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Aye.

8 MR. BOEHNER: Fader?

9 MR. FADER: Aye.

10 MR. BOEHNER: Civiletti?

11 MS. CIVILETTI: Aye.

12 MR. BOEHNER: Delaney?

13 MS. DELANEY: Aye.

14 MR. BOEHNER: Babcock-Stiner?

15 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: Aye.

16 MR. BOEHNER: Hearing is closed.

17 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Thank you. So, this is

18 an application for preliminary and final site plan

19 approval. Do we have a motion?

20 MR. FADER: I move the Board --

21 MR. BOEHNER: Someone make a motion besides

22 David, how about that? Come on someone's got to do

23 it.

24 MS. CIVILETTI: Pam, Pam, Pam, Pam.

25 MR. FADER: They haven't mastered your cheat

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 sheet yet.

3 MS. DELANEY: I didn't even do it in person.
4 Just, like, having all these screens and trying to
5 figure this out is really, I think, overwhelming. How
6 about Jason?

7 MS. CIVILETTI: Jason, Jason.

8 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: It's the same thing.
9 Let me find everything. I only have --

10 MR. BOEHNER: We can have David do it.

11 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: I'm flipping between
12 tabs. All right.

13 MR. FADER: Want me to do it, Ramsey?

14 MR. BOEHNER: That's up to the chairperson
15 because the clocks clicking. Might be faster to just
16 get this thing done.

17 MS. CIVILETTI: Ramsey's had his --

18 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Wife's got to get her
19 phone back.

20 MR. BOEHNER: I'm going to --

21 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: David -- David, will you
22 go ahead?

23 MR. FADER: Yeah. I move the Planning Board
24 approves the Application 10P-02-20 based on testimony
25 given, plans submitted and the 18 conditions, and the

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 Board adopts a negative declaration prepared by Town
3 staff.

4 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: I'll second. Moved and
5 seconded. Is there any further discussion? All
6 right. Ramsey, call the roll.

7 MR. BOEHNER: Babcock-Stiner?

8 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: Aye.

9 MR. BOEHNER: Delaney?

10 MS. DELANEY: Aye.

11 MR. BOEHNER: Civiletti?

12 MS. CIVILETTI: Aye.

13 MR. BOEHNER: Fader?

14 MR. FADER: Aye.

15 MR. BOEHNER: Price?

16 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Aye. Okay. Good luck
17 to all the applicants tonight. We wish you well.

18 Application 9P-NB-01 is off until next
19 month.

20 Why don't we go ahead and take a look at
21 signs? Ramsey, is there a way to do this? I -- I did
22 not get a chance to see these. Is --

23 MR. FRISCH: I -- I can show the screen now.

24 MR. BOEHNER: Jeff, can you show the signs
25 because it's only us in the room and the steno.

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 MR. FRISCH: I found a way to lock down who
3 can share, which I didn't know about before. So...

4 MR. BOEHNER: That's good so I can tell the
5 Supervisor we know how to fix it.

6 MR. FRISCH: Yeah.

7 MR. BOEHNER: Because he's going to want to
8 know about that tomorrow morning. So, we got that
9 covered.

10 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: You have to explain
11 that?

12 MR. BOEHNER: Especially since I'm like, we
13 got to go back to Zoom. We got to go back to Zoom.
14 And that was his biggest fear. It took me months to
15 get him to do it. And --

16 MR. FRISCH: At least we can cut that part
17 out of the video before we post it online.

18 MR. BOEHNER: Oh, yeah. You're going to.
19 You have to or it's gone. That -- that baby is gone.
20 That is not going to YouTube.

21 MR. FADER: You guys will get more viewers.

22 MR. BOEHNER: Okay. This is the sign. They
23 got all the variances they needed. They got
24 Architectural Review Board. It's kind of an awning.

25 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay. Okay. So, this

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 is -- this is into an entranceway or is this like a
3 smoking hut?

4 MR. FRISCH: That's where they're going to
5 bring out medications to people that are driving
6 through.

7 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: I see. Okay. All
8 right. And they do --

9 MR. BOEHNER: If I could get a motion on
10 that to approve as recommended?

11 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: So moved.

12 MS. CIVILETTI: Second.

13 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Moved and seconded.

14 MR. BOEHNER: Ready? Babcock-Stiner?

15 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: Aye.

16 MR. BOEHNER: Delaney?

17 MS. DELANEY: Aye.

18 MR. BOEHNER: Civiletti?

19 MS. CIVILETTI: Aye.

20 MR. BOEHNER: Fader?

21 MR. FADER: Aye.

22 MR. BOEHNER: Price?

23 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Aye.

24 MR. BOEHNER: Next application, they haven't
25 done anything. They kind of disappeared. So, I'd

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 recommend denial without prejudice.

3 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: I -- do we -- do we
4 have -- do we have a picture of this? This is --
5 you're saying they're -- they did not re-apply? They
6 did not --

7 MR. BOEHNER: No. We've tabled it for a
8 couple of months now.

9 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: And they were supposed
10 to -- does --

11 MR. BOEHNER: Apply for variances.

12 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Oh, for variance? Okay.

13 MR. BOEHNER: This is half the problem. It
14 sounded like they weren't going -- we just don't know
15 what -- we've reached out to them. So, they can come
16 back.

17 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Okay. I'll move we deny
18 without prejudice.

19 MR. BOEHNER: That's right.

20 MS. DELANEY: I'll second.

21 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Pam seconds.

22 MR. BOEHNER: Okay. We've got Price?

23 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Aye.

24 MR. BOEHNER: Civiletti?

25 MS. CIVILETTI: Aye.

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020
2 MR. BOEHNER: Babcock-Stiner?
3 MR. BABCOCK-STINER: Aye.
4 MR. BOEHNER: Fader?
5 MR. FADER: Aye.
6 MR. BOEHNER: And who did I forget?
7 MS. DELANEY: Delaney.
8 MR. BOEHNER: Delaney?
9 MS. DELANEY: Aye.
10 MR. BOEHNER: Terrific. Motion passes.
11 That's everything.
12 MR. FADER: So, I have a secret.
13 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Please?
14 MR. FADER: I work from home. I have two
15 monitors.
16 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Oh, that's how you're
17 doing it.
18 MR. FADER: The cheat sheet from Ramsey is
19 on the other monitor.
20 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: All right.
21 MS. DELANEY: I have two monitors too. But
22 it's --
23 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: I don't.
24 MS. DELANEY: I feel like I need three for
25 the conditions, and the case number, and the

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 statements. And then, I also don't feel like -- I got
3 the new sheet but I feel like you do something
4 different than the new sheet we were given. So, then
5 I get confused if I'm supposed to fully follow the
6 script on the new sheet.

7 MR. BOEHNER: 100 percent.

8 MS. DELANEY: I think I need -- I think I
9 need a training. I think I need, like, remedial
10 Planning Board.

11 MR. FADER: I can send you my enhanced -- my
12 enhanced cheat sheet.

13 MS. DELANEY: Maybe you should do a Zoom
14 training, David, on how to do the approvals for the
15 Board.

16 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: You just need remedial
17 motion making.

18 MS. DELANEY: Yeah.

19 MR. BOEHNER: You just got to start doing
20 it.

21 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: You're good at Planning
22 Board.

23 MR. BOEHNER: Motions are a pain. David's
24 been doing it for a long time. So has Laura. Jason
25 could do it if he had the sheet. We all could do it.

1 BRIGHTON PLANNING BOARD 10/21/2020

2 Just -- you know, just start doing it.

3 Hey, sorry about the bombing.

4 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: That was weird. That
5 was weird.

6 MR. BOEHNER: Good thing we got through it,
7 though. So, thank you, guys.

8 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Yeah.

9 MR. FADER: It makes for an entertaining
10 story.

11 MR. BOEHNER: Thank you to the steno.

12 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Yeah. Thank you,
13 everybody.

14 MR. BOEHNER: Thanks for getting back.

15 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: See you next month.

16 MS. CIVILETTI: Okay. Good night.

17 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: Good night.

18 MS. DELANEY: Good night.

19 MR. BOEHNER: Have a good night.

20 MR. DOLLINGER: Thank you. Good job,
21 everyone.

22 CHAIRPERSON PRICE: See ya. Bye, David.

23 * * *

24

25

1 REPORTER CERTIFICATE

2
3 I, Alexandra K. Wiater, do hereby certify
4 that I did report in stenotype machine shorthand the
5 proceedings held in the above-entitled matter;

6 Further, that the foregoing transcript is a
7 true and accurate transcription of my said
8 stenographic notes taken at the time and place
9 hereinbefore set forth.

10
11
12 Dated this 11th day of November, 2020

13 At Rochester, New York
14
15

16 Alexandra K. Wiater
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25